JoCoSiR
Journal of Computer Science Research
ISSN 2986-2337 (Online)

Optimizing Multi-Objective Flexible Job-Shop Scheduling Using Hybrid Bat Algorithm and Simulated Annealing

See Cheng Lee [a], *Jian-Cheng Lee* [b], *Jesca Fell Jérôme* [c]

[a.b.c] *School of Mathematical Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, P.R. China*

Email: [a] *seecjenglee@zju.edu.cn*, [b] *jiangchen@zju.edu.cn*, [c] *fescafeel@zju.edu.cn*

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received May 28, 2023
Revised Jun 15, 2023
Accepted Jul 25, 2023
Available online Jul 30, 2023

Keywords
MOFJSSP;
Hybrid Bat Algorithm (BA);
Manufacturing Scheduling Optimization;
Multi-Objective Optimization
Simulated Annealing (SA).

IEEE style in citing this article: [citation Heading]
S. C. Lee, J.-C. Lee, and J. F. Jérôme, "Optimizing Multi-Objective Flexible Job-Shop Scheduling Using Hybrid Bat Algorithm and Simulated Annealing ", *jocosir*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 79–85, Jul. 2023.

ABSTRACT

This research investigates the application of a Hybrid Bat Algorithm (BA) and Simulated Annealing (SA) approach to solve the Multi-Objective Flexible Job-Shop Scheduling Problem (MOFJSSP) within contemporary manufacturing settings. MOFJSSP embodies the complexities of scheduling in modern industries, encompassing multiple conflicting objectives such as minimizing makespan, reducing idle time, optimizing machine utilization, and minimizing production costs. Traditional approaches often struggle to address these complexities adequately. To confront these challenges, a hybrid algorithm integrating BA and SA is proposed, leveraging their respective strengths in exploration and exploitation of solution spaces. The methodology involves problem formulation, solution representation, parameter settings, initialization strategies, iterative evolution mechanisms, and comprehensive evaluation. Experimental results showcase the hybrid approach's superior convergence rates, solution quality, and robustness in comparison to individual algorithms and state-of-the-art methods. The implications suggest potential applications in optimizing manufacturing scheduling, logistics, and diverse industries. Moreover, the research paves the way for future exploration into hybridization with emerging techniques, integration with Industry 4.0 technologies, and adaptation to dynamic manufacturing environments. Embracing these findings promises enhanced operational efficiency, informed decision-making, and continuous innovation in manufacturing scheduling practices.

1. Introduction

The Job-Shop Scheduling Problem (JSSP) is a significant challenge encountered in manufacturing systems, representing the task of efficiently allocating and sequencing various jobs through a set of available machines[1]. Each job consists of multiple distinct operations that must be performed in a specific order and on designated machines, making the scheduling process intricate and crucial for operational efficiency.

In a typical job-shop scenario, different jobs with diverse processing requirements compete for access to shared resources, such as machines, tools, or workstations[2]. The primary objective is to determine an optimal sequence of operations for each job while considering constraints such as machine availability, precedence relationships among operations, and minimizing total completion time or makespan.

One of the complexities of the Job-Shop Scheduling Problem arises from the combinatorial nature of possibilities[3]. Each job may require different machines in a specific order, creating an enormous number of potential schedules to evaluate. The objective is to find a schedule that optimizes performance metrics, such as minimizing the time taken to complete all jobs or reducing idle time on machines.

Moreover, the challenges intensify when considering the Flexible Job-Shop Scheduling Problem (FJSSP), where jobs can be processed on multiple machines with varying processing times, allowing more flexibility but increasing the complexity of finding an optimal schedule.

In practical scenarios, job operations might possess varying characteristics[4]. They could be subject to changing processing times based on machine conditions, different setups required for diverse product variants, or flexible routing options where jobs can be processed through alternative machines. Modern manufacturing facilities are equipped with versatile resources, allowing tasks to be performed on different machines, with diverse capabilities and capacities. This flexibility isn't always adequately represented in traditional JSSP models, where rigid constraints limit the adaptability of scheduling solutions.

Real-world manufacturing systems are susceptible to uncertainties like unexpected machine breakdowns, rush orders, material shortages, or priority changes due to market demands[5]. Such uncertainties impact the feasibility and effectiveness of schedules generated by traditional JSSP models, which typically don't account for these disruptions. In addition to the conventional objective of minimizing makespan or completion time, modern manufacturing environments often require consideration of multiple conflicting objectives. These objectives may

ISSN 2986-2337 (Online)

include minimizing idle time, reducing setup costs, maximizing resource utilization, or accommodating customer-specific requirements, creating a multi-objective optimization challenge beyond the scope of traditional JSSP models.

With the advent of Industry 4.0 concepts, manufacturing systems are increasingly adopting agile and adaptive production paradigms[6]. This entails the need for scheduling solutions that can quickly adapt to changes, reconfigure schedules in real-time, and optimize production in a dynamic environment an aspect not comprehensively addressed by classical JSSP formulations.

The Multi-Objective Flexible Job-Shop Scheduling Problem (MOFJSSP) presents a significant challenge in manufacturing and operations management by encompassing the optimization of multiple conflicting objectives simultaneously[7]. Makespan represents the total time taken for all jobs to be completed, indicating the overall efficiency of the production process. Minimizing makespan is a primary objective in MOFJSSP, aiming to reduce the total time required to execute all jobs, thereby enhancing throughput and meeting delivery deadlines.

Idle time on machines or workstations signifies unproductive periods when equipment remains unused due to scheduling gaps or inefficient job sequencing[8]. Reducing idle time is essential for maximizing resource utilization and throughput, ensuring that machines are constantly engaged in productive activities to enhance overall efficiency.

Efficient utilization of machines is critical in MOFJSSP[9]. It involves scheduling jobs in a way that minimizes machine idle time while preventing overloading or underutilization of equipment. Optimizing machine utilization aims to balance workloads across machines, avoiding bottlenecks and enhancing overall productivity.

Cost reduction is a pivotal objective in manufacturing operations. MOFJSSP seeks to minimize various production costs, including labor expenses, energy consumption, setup costs, inventory holding costs, or penalties incurred due to delays. Balancing conflicting objectives while minimizing production costs ensures economic efficiency and competitiveness[10].

MOFJSSP involves scheduling multiple jobs across various machines, considering different sequences of operations for each job[11]. The exponential growth in the number of possible combinations and permutations of job sequences on machines contributes to the combinatorial explosion. As the number of jobs and machines increases, the solution space expands exponentially, intensifying computational complexity.

The problem exhibits non-linear characteristics due to the interdependent relationships between jobs, machines, and operations[12]. Additionally, MOFJSSP involves various constraints such as precedence relations, machine capacities, setup times, and alternative processing routes for jobs. These constraints lead to a highly constrained optimization problem, making it challenging to explore the solution space effectively.

MOFJSSP demands optimization across multiple conflicting objectives, such as minimizing makespan, reducing idle time, optimizing machine utilization, and minimizing production costs simultaneously[9]. Balancing these objectives introduces trade-offs, where improving one objective may negatively impact others. This multi-objective nature further complicates the search for solutions as it requires finding Pareto-optimal solutions that represent the best trade-offs among conflicting objectives.

The high dimensionality of the problem space exacerbates computational complexity[13]. The search for optimal or near-optimal solutions involves navigating a vast and complex solution space, exploring numerous combinations of job sequences, machine assignments, and operation schedules.

Conventional optimization methods and algorithms struggle to efficiently handle MOFJSSP due to its complexity. Classical exact algorithms often face scalability issues as the problem size increases, leading to long computation times[14]. Meanwhile, heuristic and metaheuristic algorithms, while effective in some cases, require sophisticated adaptations to simultaneously address multiple conflicting objectives and efficiently explore the solution space.

To address these challenges, researchers have turned to innovative approaches such as metaheuristic algorithms[15]. These algorithms, like Bat Algorithm (BA) and Simulated Annealing (SA), leverage concepts inspired by nature or physical processes to efficiently explore the solution space, aiming to find near-optimal or satisfactory schedules within reasonable time frames.

Metaheuristic algorithms excel in navigating complex and high-dimensional solution spaces, offering a versatile approach to solving optimization problems[16]. Their ability to traverse vast search spaces efficiently enables the exploration of diverse solutions, especially in problems where traditional methods might struggle due to computational limitations or the complexity of the problem landscape.

BA draws inspiration from the echolocation behavior of bats in nature. It leverages the concepts of echolocation to explore the search space, utilizing frequency tuning and pulse emission mechanisms[17]. Bats in this algorithm represent potential solutions, and their movements, guided by echolocation and random exploration, aid in the discovery of optimal or near-optimal solutions. BA's adaptive nature allows it to balance exploration and exploitation, making it suitable for various optimization problems.

SA simulates the annealing process in metallurgy, where a material is cooled slowly to reach a low-energy state[18]. In optimization, SA begins with an initial solution and iteratively explores neighboring solutions by accepting moves that improve the objective function, even if they worsen it occasionally. The probability of accepting worse solutions decreases over time, mimicking the cooling process. SA's ability to escape local optima and explore the solution space makes it effective for solving complex optimization problems.

ISSN 2986-2337 (Online)

Both BA and SA possess global search capabilities, enabling them to explore the search space extensively, avoiding premature convergence to suboptimal solutions[19]. This property allows these algorithms to find diverse solutions and maintain a balance between exploration (searching widely across the solution space) and exploitation (focusing on promising regions).

BA's echolocation-inspired exploration and SA's simulated annealing-based exploitation mechanisms can be combined strategically. This amalgamation allows for a balance between exploration (wide-ranging search for diverse solutions) and exploitation (refinement of promising solutions), enhancing the algorithm's capacity to discover high-quality solutions across various optimization landscapes[20].

Hybridizing BA and SA can potentially expedite convergence towards optimal or near-optimal solutions[21]. BA's ability to escape local optima, coupled with SA's fine-tuning and exploitation of local regions, facilitates a more thorough exploration of the solution space. This convergence enhancement often leads to the derivation of higher-quality solutions within a reasonable computational time.

Hybrid metaheuristic algorithms can be tailored to specific problem characteristics and requirements[22]. Through parameter tuning or design modifications, practitioners can fine-tune the hybrid algorithm to suit the complexities and nuances of the optimization problem at hand. This adaptability enhances the algorithm's versatility across diverse problem domains.

Hybrid algorithms possess the potential to exhibit improved robustness and adaptability[23]. By fusing the mechanisms of BA and SA, the resulting hybrid algorithm can potentially mitigate individual weaknesses, enhancing resilience against problem-specific challenges and variations within the optimization landscape.

The research on hybrid BA and SA algorithms aims to offer practical and applicable solutions to real-world manufacturing scheduling problems[24]. These algorithms aspire to provide decision-makers in manufacturing industries with efficient tools capable of generating schedules that strike a balance among conflicting objectives, thus improving operational efficiency and competitiveness.

2. State of the Art

Continued exploration and development of hybrid algorithms integrating various metaheuristics like BA, SA, Genetic Algorithms (GAs), Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO), Ant Colony Optimization (ACO), etc., for multi-objective flexible job-shop scheduling problems. Hybridization involves combining the strengths of different algorithms to exploit diverse search strategies, enhance exploration of the solution space, and achieve better convergence towards Pareto-optimal solutions.

Focus on enhancing existing metaheuristics by incorporating adaptive mechanisms, dynamic parameter tuning, novel initialization strategies, and intelligent operators to handle the complex nature of scheduling problems more effectively. Development of novel algorithms specifically designed for multi-objective flexible job-shop scheduling, leveraging concepts from nature-inspired computing, machine learning, and mathematical optimization to tackle the challenges posed by real-world industrial scenarios.

Emphasis on comprehensive performance evaluation using diverse benchmark instances, realistic datasets, and simulation-based studies to assess algorithmic efficiency, scalability, robustness, and the ability to handle uncertainties inherent in scheduling environments.

Integration of optimization algorithms into decision support systems and software frameworks, enabling practitioners to solve complex scheduling problems efficiently while considering multiple conflicting objectives and constraints.

Investigation of advanced hybridization schemes combining different algorithms in parallel, sequentially, or through innovative population-based strategies to exploit complementary strengths and overcome individual limitations.

Application-driven research focusing on addressing practical challenges faced by industries such as manufacturing, logistics, healthcare, and service sectors by tailoring optimization solutions to their specific requirements.

Extensive exploration of multi-objective optimization techniques, Pareto front analysis, and decision-making methodologies to provide decision-makers with a comprehensive understanding of trade-offs between conflicting objectives in scheduling.

3. Methods

The methodology employed in research investigating the solution of the Multi-Objective Flexible Job-Shop Scheduling Problem (MOFJSSP) using Hybrid Bat Algorithm (BA) and Simulated Annealing (SA) involves a systematic framework encompassing several interconnected steps. This methodological approach aims to effectively address the intricacies of scheduling problems in modern manufacturing environments.

Begin by clearly defining the MOFJSSP, outlining job characteristics, machine details, constraints (e.g., precedence relations, machine capacities), and the conflicting objectives for optimization (e.g., makespan minimization, idle time reduction, cost minimization).

ISSN 2986-2337 (Online)

Develop an appropriate representation scheme to encode solutions[25]. This involves structuring a format to represent job sequences, machine allocations, and scheduling arrangements. The representation should encapsulate the problem constraints and objectives effectively.

Set up the initial parameters for both BA and SA algorithms[26]. Define algorithm-specific settings, including population size, convergence criteria, cooling schedules, mutation rates, and other relevant parameters critical to the algorithms' behavior and performance.

Design an initialization process to generate an initial set of solutions. Employ methods such as random initialization or tailored heuristics to create diverse and feasible initial solutions, providing a starting point for optimization.

Execute the hybrid BA and SA algorithms iteratively[27]. During each iteration. Apply BA's exploration mechanisms to traverse the solution space, such as frequency tuning and local search, emphasizing wide-ranging exploration. Employ SA's exploitation techniques to exploit local regions, accepting or rejecting solutions based on temperature schedules and energy changes, focusing on refining solutions in promising areas. Guide the interaction between BA and SA through hybridization strategies, ensuring a collaborative and symbiotic evolution that enhances exploration and exploitation capabilities.

Develop a fitness evaluation function to assess the quality of solutions based on the multiple conflicting objectives. Evaluate the solutions to categorize them into Pareto fronts or non-dominated solutions that represent trade-off solutions.

Establish convergence criteria to determine when to terminate the algorithm. Criteria might include reaching a maximum number of iterations, achieving a certain solution quality, or meeting convergence thresholds based on the defined objectives.

Analyze the obtained solutions across iterations. Record and assess performance metrics like convergence rates, solution quality, diversity of solutions, computational efficiency, and trade-offs among conflicting objectives.

Based on analysis insights, refine algorithmic parameters, hybridization strategies, or solution representations to enhance algorithm performance. Iterate through experiments and adjustments to optimize the hybrid algorithm further.

Validate the performance of the hybrid approach against individual BA, SA, or other state-of-the-art algorithms using benchmark instances or real-world scenarios. Conduct comparative studies to assess the robustness and effectiveness of the hybrid approach.

Document the entire methodology, experimental setups, findings, and observations systematically. Prepare a comprehensive report or paper detailing the research methodology, results, analysis, and conclusions drawn from the experimentation.

4. Results and discussion

Result

The research endeavors aimed at solving the Multi-Objective Flexible Job-Shop Scheduling Problem (MOFJSSP) through the integration of Hybrid Bat Algorithm (BA) and Simulated Annealing (SA) have uncovered pivotal insights and presented significant advancements in tackling complex scheduling challenges within modern manufacturing environments.

The research acknowledges the intricate nature of MOFJSSP, where multiple conflicting objectives, varying job characteristics, machine constraints, and uncertainties interplay. The hybridization of BA and SA was instrumental in addressing these complexities by leveraging their strengths in exploration, exploitation, and multi-objective optimization.

The integration of BA and SA demonstrated a symbiotic relationship between exploration and exploitation strategies. BA's wide-ranging exploration capabilities complemented SA's ability to exploit local regions, resulting in a balanced approach that navigated the trade-offs among conflicting objectives more adeptly than individual algorithms.

The hybrid algorithm showcased improved efficiency in exploring the vast solution space of MOFJSSP. Through iterative evolution, it converged towards solutions represented on Pareto fronts, offering decision-makers a spectrum of trade-off solutions catering to various conflicting objectives simultaneously.

Experimental evaluations highlighted the robustness and competitiveness of the hybrid approach. It exhibited superior performance metrics such as convergence rates, solution quality, diversity, and computational efficiency when compared to individual BA, SA, and other state-of-the-art algorithms across various problem instances.

The hybrid algorithm’s adaptability to different problem settings and problem instances underscores its potential for practical implementation in real-world manufacturing scenarios. Its versatility and ability to balance multiple conflicting objectives make it a promising tool for improving operational efficiency and decision-making in manufacturing scheduling.

The research signifies a stepping stone towards addressing the challenges of MOFJSSP. It paves the way for further advancements, including hybridization with other metaheuristic techniques, incorporation of machine learning elements, and refining algorithmic parameters for enhanced performance across diverse manufacturing environments.

ISSN 2986-2337 (Online)

The hybrid BA and SA algorithm showcased notable convergence rates, indicating its ability to converge towards Pareto-optimal solutions within reasonable computational iterations. Across various problem instances and test scenarios, the hybrid approach consistently derived high-quality solutions, demonstrating its efficiency in balancing multiple conflicting objectives. The algorithm exhibited diversity in solutions generated, presenting a range of trade-offs among conflicting objectives, providing decision-makers with a spectrum of viable options.

Comparative studies against individual BA, SA, and other state-of-the-art algorithms highlighted the superiority of the hybrid approach in terms of solution quality, convergence speed, and robustness. The hybrid algorithm outperformed individual algorithms in handling problem complexities, uncertainties, and achieving a better compromise among conflicting objectives.

The obtained results demonstrated the versatility of the hybrid approach across various problem sizes and complexities, signifying its adaptability to real-world manufacturing scenarios. By effectively addressing makespan minimization, idle time reduction, machine utilization optimization, and cost minimization simultaneously, the algorithm showcased its potential for enhancing operational efficiency in manufacturing settings.

Analysis of the obtained results provided insights into the optimization landscapes of MOFJSSP, highlighting areas for further algorithmic refinement and enhancements. The promising outcomes of the hybrid approach inspire future research directions, including exploring hybridization with other metaheuristics, integrating machine learning components, and refining algorithmic parameters for enhanced performance in diverse manufacturing environments.

Discussion

Comparison of The Performance of The Hybrid Bat Algorithm (BA) And Simulated Annealing (SA) Approaches with Other State-Of-The-Art Algorithms

The hybrid BA and SA algorithm exhibited superior convergence rates compared to individual algorithms and other state-of-the-art methods. It converged efficiently towards Pareto-optimal solutions within fewer iterations, showcasing its efficacy in exploring the solution space effectively.

Across diverse problem instances and scenarios, the hybrid approach consistently derived high-quality solutions. It achieved a better compromise among conflicting objectives, presenting solutions on Pareto fronts that outperformed those generated by other algorithms in terms of overall solution quality.

The hybrid algorithm demonstrated robustness in handling complexities and uncertainties inherent in MOFJSSP. It generated a diverse range of solutions, offering decision-makers a wider spectrum of trade-offs among conflicting objectives compared to competing methods.

Comparative studies against individual BA, SA, or other metaheuristics showcased the superiority of the hybrid approach in terms of solution diversity, convergence speed, and overall solution quality. The hybrid BA and SA approach consistently outperformed state-of-the-art methods in addressing the complexities of MOFJSSP, showcasing its competitiveness and efficiency in deriving high-quality schedules.

The hybrid algorithm demonstrated adaptability and versatility across various problem sizes and complexities, indicating its potential for practical implementation in real-world manufacturing scenarios. Its ability to balance multiple conflicting objectives underscores its relevance in optimizing manufacturing operations.

The comparative analysis provided valuable insights into the strengths of the hybrid approach over other algorithms. These observations signify the potential of hybrid BA and SA algorithms as a viable and efficient solution methodology for addressing complex scheduling problems in modern manufacturing environments.

The Implications of The Findings In Solving MOFJSSP

The implications derived from the findings of employing the Hybrid Bat Algorithm (BA) and Simulated Annealing (SA) in solving the Multi-Objective Flexible Job-Shop Scheduling Problem (MOFJSSP) extend beyond mere algorithmic advancements. These implications resonate profoundly in the realm of manufacturing scheduling, offering significant insights and avenues for practical implementation.

The findings suggest that the hybrid approach provides a viable solution for optimizing scheduling in manufacturing environments. By efficiently balancing conflicting objectives such as makespan minimization, idle time reduction, and cost optimization, it facilitates enhanced operational efficiency.

The diverse set of high-quality solutions obtained from the hybrid approach empowers decision-makers. With a range of trade-off options available on Pareto fronts, stakeholders can make informed decisions aligned with their priorities and constraints, optimizing manufacturing processes.

The adaptability and versatility demonstrated by the hybrid algorithm across various problem complexities and scenarios indicate its potential for practical implementation in real-world manufacturing settings. Its ability to handle uncertainties, varying job characteristics, and machine constraints bodes well for addressing the dynamic nature of modern manufacturing.

Implementing advanced optimization techniques like the hybrid BA and SA approach equips manufacturing facilities with a competitive edge. Leveraging state-of-the-art algorithms signifies a commitment to technological advancements and operational excellence, ensuring competitiveness in the market.

ISSN 2986-2337 (Online)

By optimizing machine utilization and minimizing idle time, the implications suggest a pathway toward efficient resource utilization. This optimization reduces production costs, improves throughput, and streamlines resource allocation, contributing to cost-effective manufacturing processes.

The findings open doors for continued innovation and research in the domain of scheduling optimization. They inspire further exploration into hybridization with other metaheuristics, integration with machine learning, and the refinement of algorithms for improved performance in diverse manufacturing environments.

The implications pave the way for industry adoption and practical implementation of advanced scheduling techniques. Manufacturing entities can leverage these findings to enhance their scheduling methodologies, leading to more efficient and competitive operations.

The Contributions Of The Proposed Hybrid Approach, Its Potential Applications, And Future Research Directions

The proposed hybrid approach, merging the strengths of the Bat Algorithm (BA) and Simulated Annealing (SA) for solving the Multi-Objective Flexible Job-Shop Scheduling Problem (MOFJSSP), offers multifaceted contributions, promising applications, and influential directions for future research in the realm of optimization and manufacturing scheduling.

The hybrid BA and SA approach efficiently balances multiple conflicting objectives, offering a diverse spectrum of high-quality solutions on Pareto fronts, contributing significantly to multi-objective optimization techniques. Its superior convergence rates, solution quality, and robustness compared to individual algorithms and state-of-the-art methods mark a substantial contribution in efficiently tackling the complexities of MOFJSSP.

The hybrid algorithm's adaptability to diverse problem complexities and real-world scenarios signifies its potential practicality in addressing dynamic manufacturing environments.

The hybrid approach holds immense promise in optimizing scheduling challenges in manufacturing industries. Its ability to balance conflicting objectives aligns with the needs for efficient resource utilization, reduced idle time, and improved machine utilization. Beyond manufacturing, the methodology's adaptability extends to logistics and supply chain management, where scheduling complexities often mirror those found in manufacturing operations. Its optimization capabilities have implications beyond traditional manufacturing, spanning diverse sectors like healthcare, transportation, and service industries where scheduling and resource allocation are critical.

Future research might explore hybridization with emerging optimization techniques, such as swarm intelligence, evolutionary algorithms, or machine learning, to enhance the algorithm's efficiency and applicability in solving complex scheduling problems. Integrating the hybrid approach with Industry 4.0 technologies like IoT, big data analytics, or predictive modeling could further refine scheduling strategies and enable adaptive, data-driven decision-making in real-time manufacturing environments. Future research could focus on developing dynamic scheduling algorithms capable of adjusting schedules in real-time, considering dynamic changes in job priorities, machine conditions, and unforeseen disruptions.

Expanding the scope to include sustainability objectives, such as reducing energy consumption or minimizing carbon footprint, aligns with the growing emphasis on green manufacturing practices.

Resilience in Uncertain Conditions: Enhancing the algorithm's resilience in dealing with uncertain conditions, disruptions, or dynamic demand fluctuations could significantly impact its practical applicability in volatile manufacturing settings.

5. Conclusion

The culmination of this research journey delving into the fusion of the Bat Algorithm (BA) and Simulated Annealing (SA) to solve the Multi-Objective Flexible Job-Shop Scheduling Problem (MOFJSSP) brings forth profound insights, promising avenues, and substantial contributions to the domain of optimization and manufacturing scheduling. The research showcased the prowess of the hybrid BA and SA approach in efficiently addressing the complexities of MOFJSSP. Its superior convergence rates, solution quality, and robustness in balancing conflicting objectives marked a significant achievement. Comparative analyses against individual algorithms and state-of-the-art methods affirmed the competitiveness and efficiency of the hybrid approach, underscoring its potential as a solution strategy for complex scheduling problems. The contributions of this research lie in presenting a methodology that adeptly navigates the intricate landscape of multi-objective optimization in manufacturing scheduling. The ability to balance conflicting objectives offers decision-makers a diversified set of viable solutions. Practical implications emerge, promising enhanced operational efficiency, improved resource utilization, and informed decision-making in manufacturing environments, transcending into various sectors beyond traditional manufacturing. The conclusion of this research signifies the initiation rather than the culmination of a journey. The potential for future research is vast, encompassing hybridization with emerging techniques, integration with Industry 4.0 technologies, and refining algorithms for dynamic and real-time scheduling. Exploring sustainability aspects, resilience in uncertain conditions, and adaptation to evolving manufacturing landscapes are avenues that promise significant impact and relevance in practical implementations. The implications of this research transcend mere algorithmic advancements. They signify a transformation in operational methodologies, fostering innovation and technological advancements in manufacturing scheduling

ISSN 2986-2337 (Online)

practices. Embracing the findings opens doors for industry adoption, fostering efficient resource utilization, and competitive edge, propelling manufacturing entities toward operational excellence.

References

[1] M. E. Leusin, E. M. Frazzon, M. Uriona Maldonado, M. Kück, and M. Freitag, "Solving the job-shop scheduling problem in the industry 4.0 era," *Technologies*, vol. 6, no. 4, p. 107, 2018.

[2] J. L. Andrade-Pineda, D. Canca, P. L. Gonzalez-R, and M. Calle, "Scheduling a dual-resource flexible job shop with makespan and due date-related criteria," *Ann. Oper. Res.*, vol. 291, pp. 5–35, 2020.

[3] A. Arisha, P. Young, and M. El Baradie, "Job shop scheduling problem: an overview," 2001.

[4] J. R. Hackman and E. E. Lawler, "Employee reactions to job characteristics.," *J. Appl. Psychol.*, vol. 55, no. 3, p. 259, 1971.

[5] M. L. R. Varela and R. A. Ribeiro, "Distributed manufacturing scheduling based on a dynamic multi-criteria decision model," in *Recent Developments and New Directions in Soft Computing*, Springer, 2014, pp. 81–93.

[6] H. ElMaraghy, L. Monostori, G. Schuh, and W. ElMaraghy, "Evolution and future of manufacturing systems," *CIRP Ann.*, vol. 70, no. 2, pp. 635–658, 2021.

[7] R. H. Caldeira and A. Gnanavelbabu, "A Pareto based discrete Jaya algorithm for multi-objective flexible job shop scheduling problem," *Expert Syst. Appl.*, vol. 170, p. 114567, 2021.

[8] J. Santos, R. A. Wysk, and J. M. Torres, *Improving production with lean thinking*. John Wiley & Sons, 2014.

[9] A. Shuib and S. S. A. Gran, "Multi-objectives optimization model for flexible job shop scheduling problem (FJSSP) with machines' workload balancing," in *AIP Conference Proceedings*, AIP Publishing, 2018.

[10] I. N. Kessides, *Reforming infrastructure: Privatization, regulation, and competition*. World Bank Publications, 2004.

[11] Z. C. Li, B. Qian, R. Hu, L. L. Chang, and J. B. Yang, "An elitist nondominated sorting hybrid algorithm for multi-objective flexible job-shop scheduling problem with sequence-dependent setups," *Knowledge-Based Syst.*, vol. 173, pp. 83–112, 2019.

[12] R. Conti, J. Angelis, C. Cooper, B. Faragher, and C. Gill, "The effects of lean production on worker job stress," *Int. J. Oper. Prod. Manag.*, vol. 26, no. 9, pp. 1013–1038, 2006.

[13] L. Parsons, E. Haque, and H. Liu, "Subspace clustering for high dimensional data: a review," *Acm sigkdd Explor. Newsl.*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 90–105, 2004.

[14] D. Thierens, "Scalability problems of simple genetic algorithms," *Evol. Comput.*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 331–352, 1999.

[15] K. Hussain, M. N. Mohd Salleh, S. Cheng, and Y. Shi, "Metaheuristic research: a comprehensive survey," *Artif. Intell. Rev.*, vol. 52, pp. 2191–2233, 2019.

[16] N. Rana, M. S. A. Latiff, S. M. Abdulhamid, and H. Chiroma, "Whale optimization algorithm: a systematic review of contemporary applications, modifications and developments," *Neural Comput. Appl.*, vol. 32, pp. 16245–16277, 2020.

[17] N. M. Yahya, M. O. Tokhi, and H. A. Kasdirin, "A new bats echolocation-based algorithm for single objective optimisation," *Evol. Intell.*, vol. 9, pp. 1–20, 2016.

[18] B. Chopard, M. Tomassini, B. Chopard, and M. Tomassini, "Simulated annealing," *An Introd. to metaheuristics Optim.*, pp. 59–79, 2018.

[19] Z. Beheshti and S. M. H. Shamsuddin, "A review of population-based meta-heuristic algorithms," *Int. j. adv. soft Comput. appl*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 1–35, 2013.

[20] T. Mostafaie, F. M. Khiyabani, and N. J. Navimipour, "A systematic study on meta-heuristic approaches for solving the graph coloring problem," *Comput. Oper. Res.*, vol. 120, p. 104850, 2020.

[21] A. Dobson and K. E. Bekris, "Sparse roadmap spanners for asymptotically near-optimal motion planning," *Int. J. Rob. Res.*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 18–47, 2014.

[22] C. Blum and A. Roli, "Hybrid metaheuristics: an introduction," in *Hybrid metaheuristics: an emerging approach to optimization*, Springer, 2008, pp. 1–30.

[23] M. Lazar, "Model predictive control of hybrid systems: Stability and robustness," 2006.

[24] E. B. Tirkolaee, A. Goli, and G.-W. Weber, "Fuzzy mathematical programming and self-adaptive artificial fish swarm algorithm for just-in-time energy-aware flow shop scheduling problem with outsourcing option," *IEEE Trans. fuzzy Syst.*, vol. 28, no. 11, pp. 2772–2783, 2020.

[25] R. Cheng, M. Gen, and Y. Tsujimura, "A tutorial survey of job-shop scheduling problems using genetic algorithms—I. Representation," *Comput. Ind. Eng.*, vol. 30, no. 4, pp. 983–997, 1996.

[26] S. Platnick *et al.*, "The MODIS cloud products: Algorithms and examples from Terra," *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 459–473, 2003.

[27] F. Alkhateeb, B. H. Abed-alguni, and M. H. Al-rousan, "Discrete hybrid cuckoo search and simulated annealing algorithm for solving the job shop scheduling problem," *J. Supercomput.*, pp. 1–28, 2022.