

# Decision Support System Determines the Best Employees at PT Mahkota Group Tbk

## Hamzah Arrahman<sup>a</sup>, Miftahul Jannah<sup>b</sup>, Azi Muhammad Akbar<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a,c</sup> Information Technology, University of Mahkota Tricom Unggul, Indonesia

<sup>b,</sup> Information System, University of Mahkota Tricom Unggul, Indonesia

Email: <sup>a</sup> hamjaharrahman@gmail.com, <sup>b</sup> miftahuljannah0077@gmail.com, <sup>c</sup> arambe062@gmail.com

#### ARTICLE INFO ABSTRACT

Article history: Received May 30, 2023 Revised Jun 12, 2023 Accepted Jul 23, 2023 Available online Jul 30, 2023

Keywords Decision support system; Best employees;

Simple Additive Weighting (SAW);.

IEEE style in citing this article: [citation Heading] H. Arrahman, M. Jannah, and A. M. Akbar, "Decision Support

M. Akoar, Decision Support System Determines the Best Employees at PT Mahkota Group Tbk", *JoCoSiR*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 86–91, Jul. 2023. This research discusses the development and implementation of a decision support system (DSS) to determine the best employees at PT Mahkota Group Tbk. The main objective of this research is to increase efficiency and objectivity in the decision-making process related to employee performance assessment. The research methodology involves collecting employee performance data, analyzing company needs, and implementing appropriate decision-making models. The SPK developed uses artificial intelligence techniques to process and analyze employee performance data, provide scores, and ultimately determine the best employees based on established criteria. The research results show that the implementation of SPK is able to increase objectivity in assessing employee performance and provide effective support for the decision-making process. With this system, it is hoped that the company can identify and utilize employee potential more optimally, increase productivity, and strengthen the competitiveness of PT Mahkota Group Tbk in the market. This data will be processed and assessed by a system developed using the Simple Additive Weighting (SAW) method. The results of the performance assessment will be presented in the form of ratings and grades for each employee, making it easier for related parties to make a more precise and transparent decision-making process. It is hoped that the results of this research can make a positive contribution to the efficiency and effectiveness of human resource management.

#### Copyright: Journal of Computer Science Research (JoCoSiR) with CC BY NC SA license.

#### 1. Introduction

The increasingly rapid development of technology has helped humans complete daily tasks such as working, studying, even shopping and other activities, resulting in human progress from time to time. The role of computers in the development of technology which is used to create various kinds of intelligent system devices which can support effective decision making in order to produce solutions to complex problems which cannot be solved by humans, in other words, is known as a Decision Support System.

PT. Mahkota Group, Tbk, which is located at Jalan Pioneer Independence, Grand Jati Junction complex, is a company engaged in palm oil processing which has branches in several cities. The company, through its subsidiaries, focuses on processing Fresh Fruit Bunches (FFB) into Crude Palm Oil (CPO) and palm kernel as well as bulking tank rental services. The number of employees working in this company reaches 80 employees.

The problem that often occurs is that the monitoring and evaluation process that is carried out is still manual-based, where the Head of the Department has to record the assessment points in writing on a piece of paper, so that every day several pieces of paper will simply be wasted because the daily assessment will be recapped at the end of the month. Apart from that, the factor of forgetting to place written assessment sheets is the main problem that hinders the employee performance assessment process from becoming an evaluation for leadership officials, therefore a decision support system technology solution is needed that can overcome this problem. Many methods are used in building decision support systems, one of which is the Simple Additive Weighting (SAW) method.

Research conducted by Henderi entitled Decision Support System for Employee Performance Assessment by Applying the SAW Method at PT. Gada Agni Indonesia with the results of the system built can make decision making easier for the company and is in accordance with the desired solution achieved and the SAW method used is quite accurate in helping decisions as the desired solution.[1]

Another research conducted by July entitled Decision Support System for Employee Performance Assessment for Promotion at PT. SMS Cengkareng Barat Using the Profile Matching Method with the result that the system can overcome the problem of subjective assessments carried out by decision makers.[2]

Furthermore, research conducted by Christiana & Mailoa entitled Website-Based Employee Performance Assessment Decision Support System Using the TOPSIS Method produces an assessment process which will produce a ranking where this ranking is the order of employee performance from best to worst. This employee performance appraisal system has a report printing feature. So that the assessment results can be printed and archived.[3]

## 2. State of the Art

The development of decision support systems (DSS) has become the main focus in increasing the efficiency and objectivity of the employee performance assessment process in various companies. As a first step, previous research has made a significant contribution to understanding and applying the SPK concept in the context of employee performance assessment.

One approach that is widely adopted is the use of artificial intelligence techniques, such as machine learning and data mining, to process and analyze employee performance data efficiently. These studies show great potential in increasing the accuracy and speed of decision making, as well as providing adaptive solutions to the changing dynamics of the work environment.

In addition, several studies place more emphasis on developing a comprehensive performance evaluation model by considering factors such as competency, target achievement, and contribution to the team or project. This approach helps create an assessment framework that is more holistic and tailored to the company's needs.

Some of the challenges faced in developing DSS for employee performance assessment include integrating data from various sources, handling uncertainty, and ethical aspects related to the use of technology in personal decision making. Therefore, current research seeks to overcome these obstacles by developing new methods and proposing more sophisticated frameworks.

Although there has been significant progress in this area, further research is still needed to optimize the implementation of DSS in employee performance assessment, especially in certain industrial contexts. Thus, it is hoped that this research can provide additional contributions in enriching human resource management literature and practice. The Simple Additive Weighting (SAW) method is often also known as the weighted addition method. The basic concept of SAW is to find the weighted sum of the performance of each alternative on all attributes. The SAW method requires a process of normalizing the decision matrix (X) to a scale that can be compared with all existing alternative ratings. This method is the most well-known and most widely used method in dealing with situations. Multiple Attribute Decision Making itself is a method used to find the optimal alternative from a number of alternatives with certain criteria. This SAW method requires decision makers to determine the weight for each attribute. The total score for an alternative is obtained by adding up all the results of multiplying the ratings (which can be compared across attributes) and the weight of each attribute. The rating for each attribute must be dimension-free in the sense that it has gone through a previous matrix normalization process.[6]. Employee performance is the result of good work that a person achieves in completing his responsibilities. A person's performance is a combination of opportunities and business abilities that can be assessed from the results of his work. And the behavior that each person displays in real terms is a form of work performance in accordance with their duties as employees. Employee performance really has a big influence on the development of the company itself because where a company has quality resources, it will create good performance. This is where the company is able to compete with other companies and can even develop to achieve the main goal, namely making the company better. progressing from before, employee performance is more often referred to as a very important aspect used to support the success of a company. In civil service, compensation is a reward given to employees as appreciation for their services to the company. (Mangkunegara, 2001: 83). Basically, compensation is something that an employee receives from a company, where this is a form of company reward to an employee from the results of work that has been completed. The compensation given is usually in physical or non-physical form, such as money, goods or something given directly or indirectly, apart from that, the types of compensation according to company policy include salary, incentives and bonuses. This can motivate employees to work more actively and harder for the benefit of progress within a company. From the statement above, it can be concluded that compensation greatly influences employee performance, the higher or more that is submitted, the more active the workers will be in completing their tasks and this will have an effect on increasing their performance and potentially on the development of the company itself.

Work Motivation is something that makes a person put his or her abilities into skills and expertise, energy and time to carry out activities that are his or her duties and carry out obligations in order to achieve the goals and objectives of the organization that have been determined.[7].

## 3. Method

In research, analysis is carried out to obtain all system and user requirements which include input, output and other information needed by system users. In applying the Simple Additive Weighting (SAW) method, the aim is to find out the results of the decisions of the best employees at PT Mahkota, Tbk which are assessed based on the criteria that apply to the Service. In the context of decision support, three main variables are needed, namely Alternatives, determining Criteria and determining Weights. Alternative is the term used for the object of research being carried out. Criteria are a form of assessment used including the number of employees' attendance, loyalty, discipline,

attitude and creativity. The steps for completing SAW (Simple Additive Weighting) start from determining alternatives, criteria and weights.

Table 1. Dataset		
Alternative	Name	
A1	Rudi	
A2	Reza	
A3	Sutrisno	
A4	Nikki	
A5	Suci	
A6	Fadia	
A7	Cerah	
A8	Dwi	
A9	Туа	
A10	Syamsial	

Table 2. Weight Values

Alternative	Name
Not Good (NG)	1
Good (G)	2
Very Good (VG)	3

Table 3. Criteria

No	Criteria	Criteria Code	Values
1	K1	Presence	20
2	K2	Loyalty	10
3	К3	Discipline	25
4	K4	Attitude	30
5	K5	Creativity	15
	То	tal	100

Table 4. Criteria

No	Kode Kriteria	Kriteria	Sub Kriteria	Bobot
		2	Absent > 7 Times (NG)	1
1	K1	Presence	Absent 3- 5 Times (G)	2
			Attended < 2 Times (VG)	3
		Louilty	Not Willing to Accept Additional Work (NG)	1
2	K2	Loyalty	Accepting Additional Work with little restrictions(G)	2
			Completing Additional Work on Time (VG)	3
		Dissipling	Late Attendance > 1 Hour (NG)	1
3	K3	Discipline	Late Attendance < 30 Minutes (G)	2
			Timely Attendance (VG)	3
			Disrespectful (NG)	1
4	K4	Attitude	Polite But Indifferent (G)	2
			Polite and Friendly (VG)	3
			Not Creative (NG)	1
5	K5	Creativity	A little creative (G)	2
			Very Creative (VG)	3

#### Table 5. Example of Alternative Values

Criteria	A1	A2	A3	A4	A5	A6	A7	A8	A9	A10
K1	VG	G	VG	NG	VG	G	G	VG	G	G
K2	G	G	VG	G	VG	VG	G	NG	VG	G
K3	VG	VG	VG	G	G	G	G	NG	VG	NG
K4	VG	VG	G	VG	G	NG	G	NG	VG	VG
K5	G	NG	G	G	G	G	NG	G	G	NG

Table 6. Alternative Suitability Rating for each Criteria

No	Altermetive			Criteria		
INU	Alternative —	K1	K2	К3	K4	K5
1	A1	3	2	3	3	2

N.	A 14			Criteria		
No	Alternative —	K1	K2	К3	K4	К5
2	A2	2	2	3	3	1
3	A3	3	3	3	2	2
4	A4	1	2	2	3	2
5	A5	3	3	2	2	2
6	A6	2	3	2	1	2
7	A7	2	2	2	2	1
8	A8	3	3	1	1	2
9	A9	2	3	3	3	2
10	A10	2	2	1	3	1

Process of Determining Normalization The decision matrix (X) becomes a normalized matrix (R) by dividing the attribute value of each criterion (Xij) by the largest value of each criterion (Max Xij).

<ul> <li>For Employ</li> </ul>	oyee 1 (A1)	Assessment
--------------------------------	-------------	------------

$R11 = 3/(Max \{ 3;2;3;1;3;2;2;3;2;2\}) = 3/3 = 1$	
R12 = $2/(Max \{ 2; 2; 3; 2; 3; 3; 2; 3; 3; 2\}) = 2/3 = 0,67$	
R13 = $3/(Max \{ 3;3;3;2;2;2;2;1;3;1\}) = 3/3 = 1$	
R14 = $3/(Max \{3;3;2;3;2;1;2;1;3;3\}) = 3/3 = 1$	
R15 = $2/(Max \{ 2;1;2;2;2;2;1;2;2;1\}) = 2/2 = 1$	
• For Employee 2 (A2) Assessment	
R21 = $2/(Max \{ 3;2;3;1;3;2;2;3;2;2\}) = 2/3 = 0,67$	
$R22 = 2/(Max \{ 2;2;3;2;3;2;3;3;2\}) = 2/3 = 0,67$	
R23 = $3/(Max \{ 3;3;3;2;2;2;2;1;3;1\}) = 3/3 = 1$	
$R24 = 3/(Max \{3;3;2;3;2;1;2;1;3;3\}) = 3/3 = 1$	
R25 = $1/(Max \{ 2;1;2;2;2;2;1;2;2;1\}) = 1/2 = 0,5$	

Perform the same calculations for alternative employee assessments A3 to A10 to produce the following table

Table 7. Alternative Normalization Results

	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	<b>R7</b>	<b>R8</b>	R9	R10
K1	1	0.67	1	0.33	1	0.67	0.67	1	0.67	0.67
K2	0.67	0.67	1	0.67	1	1	0.67	1	1	0.67
K3	1	1	1	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.33	1	0.33
K4	1	1	0.67	1	0.67	0.33	0.67	0.33	1	1
K5	1	0.5	1	1	1	1	0.5	1	1	0.5

Next, a calculation process will be carried out to find the final value (V value) obtained from the total calculation results of the preference weights W multiplied by the normalized matrix R

V1 = (1 \* 20) + (0,67 \* 10) + (1\*25) + (1\*30) + (1\*15) = 96,6667V2 = (0,67 \* 20) + (0,67 \* 10) + (1\*25) + (1\*30) + (0,5\*15) = 82,50

 $V_{2} = (0,07 - 20) + (0,07 - 10) + (1 - 20) + (0,07 - 10) = 02$  $V_{3} = (1 + 20) + (1 + 10) + (1 + 25) + (0,67 + 30) + (1 + 15) = 90,00$ 

 $V4 = (0.33 \times 20) + (0.67 \times 10) + (0.67 \times 25) + (1 \times 30) + (1 \times 15) = 75,00$ 

V5 = (1 \* 20) + (1 \* 10) + (0.67 \* 25) + (0.67 \* 30) + (1 \* 15) = 81,6667

V6 = (0,67 \* 20) + (1 \* 10) + (0,67 \* 25) + (0,67 \* 30) + (1 \* 15) = 65,00

V7 = (0,67 \* 20) + (0,67 \* 10) + (0,67 \* 25) + (0,67 \* 30) + (0,5 \* 15) = 64,1667

V8 = (1 \* 20) + (1 \* 10) + (0,33 \* 25) + (0,33 \* 30) + (1 \* 15) = 63,3333

V9 = (0,67 \* 20) + (1 \* 10) + (1 \* 25) + (1 \* 30) + (1 \* 15) = 93,3333

$$V10 = (0,67*20) + (0,67*10) + (0,33*25) + (1*30) + (0,5*15) = 65,8333$$

Based on the results of calculations carried out on employees, ranking results are obtained as in the following table.

	Table 8. Ranking					
Alternative	Values	Rank	_			
A1	96,6667	1	_			
A9	93,3333	2				
A3	90	3				
A2	82,5	4				
A5	81,6667	5				
A4	75	6				

Alternative	Values	Rank
A10	65,8333	7
A6	65	8
A7	64,1667	9
A8	63,3333	10

Based on the results of the calculations carried out, the alternative with the highest score from rank 1 to rank 3 was found in alternative A1 at 96.6667, A9 at 93.3333 and A3 at 90.00 so it was declared the best employee.

### 4. Results and Discussion

The following are the results of the research which was built in the form of a decision support system application starting from the display form:

a. Login

The Login page is the first page that appears when the system is started. On this page, the administrator has the right to log in Enter your email and password correctly, and then the admin will immediately go to the home page display. The login page display is as follows :

Login Account	
admin	
•••••	
+D Masuk	

Figure 1. Login Form

## b. Home Form

The home page is the display when the administrator successfully logs in. The display starts from criteria data, sub-criteria data, weight data, assessment, calculations and final results.

🧐 SPK SAW						Alteria 🗿
<ul> <li>Dashboard</li> </ul>	A Dashboard					
	Sciamat datang ADMINE A	nda bisa mengapor	asikan sistem dengan wewenang t	ertentu melalui p	liðhan menu di bawah	×
	Data Kriteria		Data Sub Kriteria		Data Alternatif	121
	1				I	
	Data Penilaian	B	Data Perhitungan		Data Hasil Akhir	Ida

Figure 2. Home Form

### c. Calculation Data Form

🖿 Data Hasil Akhir 🕒 Cett							
🖽 Hasil, Akhir Perankingan							
Nama Alternatif	Nilai	Ranking					
RUDI	96.6667	1					
TYA	93.3333	2					
SUTRISNO	90	3					
REZA	82.5	4					
SUCI	81.6667	5					
NIKKI	75	6					
SYAMSIAL	65.8333	7					
FADIA	65	8					
CERAH	64.1667	9					
DWI SAPUTRI	63.3333	10					

Figure 3. Calculation Data Form

#### 5. Conclusions

This research The conclusions obtained in the research entitled "Decision Support System for Determining Performance Evaluation of Honorary Employees Using the SAW Method at the Regional Secretariat of Deli Serdang Regency" are as follows: The system built can make it easier for the Department to determine the best Honorary Employees according to an objective assessment. The variables used in the research included in the Benefit Category do not use the Cost variable. The criteria used are 5 criteria with the frequency of each weight according to the Department's determination during the interview process. Method of providing decision results in accordance with data calculated manually by the relevant department

## References

- [1] Teknik, S. T., & Gresik, Q. (2018). Sistem pendukung keputusan pemilihan motor dengan metode simple additive weigthing (SAW) Hermanto, Nailul Izzah. 6(2), 184–200.
- [2] Henderi, H., Gusti, A. R., & Yenti, F. (2022). Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Penilaian Kinerja Karyawan Dengan Menerapkan Metode SAW Pada PT. Gada Agni Indonesia. ICIT Journal, 8(1), 14–22. https://doi.org/10.33050/icit.v8i1.2169
- [2] Ayu Winarsih, J., Bahri, S., Nusa Mandiri Jakarta, S., & BSI Yogyakarta, A. (2018). SMS Cengkareng Barat Dengan Metode Profile Matching. Ijse.Web.Id IJSE-Indonesian Journal on Software Engineering, 4(1), 85–92
- [3] Christiana, A. D., & Mailoa, E. (2022). Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Penilaian Kinerja Karyawan Berbasis Website dengan Menggunakan Metode TOPSIS Pendahuluan Karyawan dalam suatu perusahaan merupakan bagian terpenting yang mempengaruhi tingkat kemajuan suatu perusahaan. Oleh karena karyawan mema. Jurnal Teknologi Informasi, 19(1), 31–47
- [4] Aisyah, N., & Putra, A. S. (2022). Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Rekomendasi Pemilihan Manajer Terbaik Menggunakan Metode AHP (Analytic Hierarchy Process). Jurnal Esensi Infokom : Jurnal Esensi Sistem Informasi Dan Sistem Komputer, 5(2), 7–13. https://doi.org/10.55886/infokom.v5i2.275
- [5] Rachman, R. (2019). Penerapan Metode Simple Additive Weighting (Saw) Untuk Penilaian Karyawan Pada Kenaikan Jabatan. Jurnal Tekno Insentif, 12(2), 21–27. https://doi.org/10.36787/jti.v12i2.71
- [6] Ashari, M., Jannah, S. H., Fadli, S., & Saikin. (2021). Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Penerimaan Murid Baru Menggunakan Metode Ahp Dan Saw. Pixel:Jurnal Ilmiah Komputer Grafis, 14(2), 287–299. https://doi.org/10.51903/pixel.v14i2.592
- [7] Esthi, R. B., & Marwah, Y. N. (2020). Kinerja karyawan : motivasi dan disiplin kerja pada pt asahi indonesia. Forum Ekonomi, 22(1), 130–137.
- [8] http://journal.feb.unmul.ac.id/index.php/FORUMEKONOMI
- [9] Jufri, H. Al. (2022). PERHITUNGAN MANUAL DENGAN MENGGUNAKAN METODA SAW (Simple Additive Weighting) Salah satu metode dalam sistem pendukung keputusan yakni Metode SAW (Simple istilah metode penjumlahan terbobot. Konsep dasar metode SAW adalah mencari metode yang paling ter. 2(1), 59–68.
- [10] Saputri, A. N., & Rachman, A. N. (2022). Faktor-Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Kinerja Karyawan PT. Telkom Indonesia Witel Solo. Jurnal Imiah Ekonomi Dan Bisnis, 15(1), 9–23
- [11] Nistrina, K., & Sahidah, L. (2022). Unified Modelling Language (Uml) Untuk Perancangan Sistem Informasi Penerimaan Siswa Baru Di Smk Marga Insan Kamil. Jurnal Sistem Informasi, 04, 12–23.
- [12] Saw, M. (2020). TERBAIK DAN PEMBERIAN DISKON MENGGUNAKAN. 13(1), 18-33.
- [13] Sembiring, F., Fauzi, M. T., Khalifah, S., Khotimah, A. K., & Rubiati, Y. (2020). Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Penerima Bantuan Covid 19 menggunakan Metode Simple Additive Weighting (SAW) (Studi Kasus : Desa Sundawenang). Explore:Jurnal Sistem Informasi Dan Telematika, 11(2), 97. https://doi.org/10.36448/jsit.v11i2.1563