

Development of quantum machine learning for protein structure prediction

Nimbe Qureshi Bianco ^{a*}, Sierra-Sosa Miyashita ^b, Pathak ^c

^{a,b,c} Neurology Faculty, University of Kansas, USA

Email: ^b sieramiya@kumc.edu, ^c pathak@kumc.edu

*Correspondence Author: ^a nimbequresbia@kumc.edu

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received Sep 10, 2023

Revised Sep 29, 2023

Accepted Nov 19, 2023

Available online Nov 30, 2023

Keywords

Hybrid Quantum-Classical

Models;

Protein Structure Prediction;

Quantum Computing;

Quantum Error Correction;

Quantum Machine Learning.

IEEE style in citing this article:

[citation Heading]

N. Q. Bianco, S.-S. Miyashita, and P. Pathak, "Development of quantum machine learning for protein structure prediction", *JoCoSiR*, vol. 1, no. 4, Nov. 2023.

ABSTRACT

Quantum Machine Learning (QML) holds immense potential in revolutionizing the prediction of protein structures, a critical challenge in computational biology. This research explores the application of quantum states, including superposition and entanglement, to capture the intricate and uncertain nature of protein conformations. Quantum gates and Fourier transforms are investigated as tools to manipulate and enhance quantum states, showcasing their ability to discern features essential for accurate predictions. The integration of hybrid quantum-classical models addresses the current limitations of quantum hardware, combining classical and quantum computing strengths. Quantum error correction is identified as a pivotal aspect for ensuring the reliability of predictions in the quantum domain. A numerical example is presented to illustrate the probabilistic nature of quantum states and the potential for obtaining optimized outcomes through quantum machine learning. The findings highlight the need for continued interdisciplinary collaboration between quantum physicists, computer scientists, and computational biologists to advance the field. While the exploration of QML for Protein Structure Prediction is in its early stages, the research emphasizes the transformative potential of quantum computing in unraveling the complexities of molecular structures.

Copyright: Journal of Computer Science Research (JoCoSiR) with CC BY NC SA license.

1. Introduction

Proteins, fundamental building blocks of life, play crucial roles in various biological processes[1]. Understanding their three-dimensional structures is essential for unraveling their functions and designing targeted therapeutic interventions[2]. Traditional methods for predicting protein structures, such as molecular dynamics simulations and energy minimization algorithms, have made significant contributions but are often constrained by computational intensity, particularly when dealing with large and intricate protein structures[3][4][5][6].

The emergence of quantum computing has sparked interest in its potential to address complex computational challenges in various domains, including computational biology[7][8][9][10]. Quantum machine learning (QML) represents a fusion of quantum computing and machine learning techniques, promising to revolutionize the computational landscape for problems like protein structure prediction[11][12]. Quantum algorithms, with their inherent parallelism and unique principles of superposition and entanglement, hold the potential to outperform classical algorithms in certain computations relevant to bioinformatics[13][14][15].

The specific challenge in protein structure prediction lies in the vast and complex search spaces of possible molecular conformations[3][16]. Classical algorithms often struggle with the computational demands of exploring these spaces thoroughly[17]. Quantum machine learning offers a paradigm shift by enabling the exploration of multiple possibilities simultaneously, potentially leading to more efficient and accurate predictions[18][19].

In recent years, quantum-inspired algorithms and hybrid quantum-classical approaches have been explored as intermediate steps towards fully leveraging the power of quantum computing[20][21][22][23]. These approaches aim to harness quantum principles to enhance classical machine learning methods, providing a bridge between current computational capabilities and the future potential of quantum algorithms[19][24].

Despite the theoretical promise, the practical implementation of quantum machine learning for protein structure prediction is still in its infancy[25][26]. Challenges include designing quantum algorithms that can handle the complexity of biological data, developing error-correction strategies for noisy quantum processors, and validating the performance of these algorithms experimentally[8][27].

This research seeks to build upon existing knowledge and address these challenges by exploring the development and application of quantum machine learning techniques for predicting protein structures. By investigating quantum algorithms, data encoding strategies, and hybrid approaches, the study aims to contribute to the advancement of computational biology, potentially unlocking new possibilities for drug discovery and personalized medicine.

2. State of the Art

Quantum Algorithms for Protein Structure Prediction, Researchers have been exploring the application of quantum algorithms, such as quantum annealing and variational quantum eigensolvers, for efficiently solving optimization problems related to protein structure prediction[10][28]. Quantum Machine Learning Models, Quantum machine learning models, including quantum-inspired algorithms and hybrid quantum-classical approaches, have been proposed for enhancing classical machine learning techniques in predicting protein structures [29]. Quantum Data Encoding, Efforts have been made to develop quantum data encoding techniques specific to biological data, allowing the representation of molecular structures in a quantum format [30]. Noise and Error Mitigation, Research focuses on addressing the challenges posed by noise and errors in quantum computations, including the development of error correction strategies for quantum algorithms applied to computational biology [31]. Quantum-Inspired Neural Networks, Quantum-inspired neural networks, which use principles from quantum mechanics to design classical algorithms, have been explored for various machine learning tasks relevant to bioinformatics [32]. Experimental Validation, Experimental validation of quantum machine learning models for protein structure prediction is limited but gradually increasing as quantum computing hardware becomes more accessible. Research in this area often involves collaborations between quantum computing experts and experimental biologists [33][34]. Applications in Drug Discovery, Beyond prediction, researchers are exploring the potential of quantum computing in drug discovery, where quantum algorithms may accelerate the simulation and analysis of molecular interactions relevant to drug design [35][36]. The theory behind the development of Quantum Machine Learning (QML) for Protein Structure Prediction involves a combination of principles from quantum mechanics and machine learning. Below is a high-level overview along with basic mathematical formulations:

Quantum Machine Learning

Quantum machine learning leverages quantum computing principles to enhance classical machine learning algorithms[19][37]. In the context of protein structure prediction, the goal is to use quantum algorithms to efficiently represent and process the information encoded in molecular structures[38].

Quantum States and Superposition

Quantum bits, or qubits, can exist in multiple states simultaneously due to superposition[39][40]. In the context of QML, this property allows the representation of various conformations of a protein simultaneously. The state of a qubit in superposition can be expressed as:

$$|\psi\rangle = \alpha|1\rangle + \beta|0\rangle \quad (1)$$

Here, α and β are probability amplitudes, and $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ represent the basis states.

Quantum Entanglement

Entanglement is a unique quantum phenomenon where the state of one qubit becomes correlated with the state of another, even when physically separated[41]. This property can be harnessed to represent relationships between different parts of a protein. For two entangled qubits:

$$|\psi\rangle = \alpha|01\rangle + \beta|11\rangle \quad (2)$$

Quantum Gates and Circuits

Quantum algorithms are built using quantum gates, which perform operations on qubits. Quantum circuits are sequences of these gates[42]. Developing quantum circuits for protein structure prediction involves designing gates that manipulate quantum states to capture relevant information. The application of a quantum gate to a qubit state $|\psi\rangle$ is represented as:

$$U(|\psi\rangle) = |\psi'\rangle \quad (3)$$

Quantum Fourier Transform

The Quantum Fourier Transform (QFT) is a crucial quantum algorithm used for tasks like signal processing[43][44]. In QML, it can be employed for efficient manipulation of molecular data. The QFT is a complex operation involving a matrix transformation. For a state $|\psi\rangle$, the QFT operation can be represented as $QFT(|\psi\rangle)$.

Hybrid Quantum-Classical Models

Due to the limited availability of fully functional quantum computers, hybrid models combine classical and quantum computations[45][46]. Classical algorithms handle certain tasks while quantum processors tackle specific subproblems, such as optimizing parameters in a machine learning model.

Error Correction in Quantum Computing

Quantum computers are prone to errors due to environmental factors[47]. Quantum error correction techniques are vital for maintaining the integrity of quantum computations[48][49]. Quantum error correction involves encoding quantum information redundantly in a quantum code to detect and correct errors. This can be represented using quantum codes such as the $[[n, k, d]]$ code, where n is the number of qubits, k is the number of encoded qubits, and d is the code distance.

Establishing new methods and proposing new methods

Let's refine and expand upon the previous formulations to capture the essence of quantum machine learning applied to the specific context of predicting protein structures. The formulations below are meant to provide a more detailed representation of the quantum principles and operations involved in this research area:

For Quantum States and Superposition

A protein's conformational space can be represented using quantum states. Let $|\Psi_i\rangle$ be the quantum state corresponding to the i -th conformation.

$$|\Psi_i\rangle = \alpha_i|0\rangle + \beta_i|1\rangle + \gamma_i|2\rangle + \dots \quad (4)$$

Here, $|0\rangle, |1\rangle, |2\rangle, \dots$ represent different conformations, and $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i, \dots$ are probability amplitudes.

For Quantum Entanglement

Quantum entanglement can capture relationships between different parts of a protein's structure. Let $|\Phi_{ij}\rangle$ represent the entangled state between qubits i and j .

$$|\Phi_{ij}\rangle = \alpha_{ij}|00\rangle + \beta_{ij}|01\rangle + \gamma_{ij}|10\rangle + \delta_{ij}|11\rangle + \dots \quad (5)$$

For Quantum Gates and Circuits for Protein Structure Prediction

Quantum gates are used to manipulate quantum states, and circuits are designed for specific tasks. Let $U_{predict}$ be a quantum gate designed for protein structure prediction.

$$U_{predict}(|\Psi_i\rangle) = |\Psi'_i\rangle \quad (6)$$

For Quantum Fourier Transform for Biological Data

Quantum Fourier Transform can efficiently process molecular data. Let QFT_{bio} be the quantum Fourier transform adapted for biological data.

$$QFT_{bio}(|\Psi_i\rangle) = |\Psi'_i\rangle \quad (7)$$

For Hybrid Quantum-Classical Models

Hybrid models integrate classical and quantum computations. Let C represent the classical component, and Q represent the quantum component.

$$\text{Hybrid Model Output} = C(Q(|\Psi'_i\rangle)) \quad (8)$$

For Error Correction in Quantum Computing

Quantum error correction ensures the reliability of quantum computations. Let E represent the quantum error correction code.

$$E(|\Psi_i\rangle) = |\Psi'_i\rangle \quad (8)$$

3. Results and Discussion

A numerical example for the development of Quantum Machine Learning (QML) for Protein Structure Prediction involves simplifying the concepts and providing illustrative values for the quantum states and operations. Keep in mind that this is a hypothetical and simplified example meant for conceptual understanding. The actual implementation would require a much more sophisticated quantum algorithm tailored to the specifics of protein structure prediction.

Assumptions:

Quantum States and Superposition:

Let's consider a protein with three possible conformations, labeled as $|0\rangle, |1\rangle$, and $|2\rangle$. The initial quantum state is represented in superposition:

$$|\Psi_0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}|0\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}|1\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}|2\rangle$$

Quantum Entanglement:

Introduce entanglement between qubits 1 and 2, represented by the Bell state:

$$|\Phi_{12}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|01\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|10\rangle$$

Quantum Gates and Circuits for Protein Structure Prediction:

Apply a hypothetical quantum gate $U_{predict}$ designed for protein structure prediction:

$$U_{predict}(|\Psi_0\rangle \otimes |\Phi_{12}\rangle) = |\Psi_1\rangle$$

This gate manipulates the quantum state to emphasize certain conformations based on their relevance to protein structure prediction.

Quantum Fourier Transform for Biological Data:

Apply a simplified quantum Fourier transform QFT_{bio} to enhance certain features relevant to protein structure:

$$QFT_{bio}(|\Psi_1\rangle) = |\Psi_2\rangle$$

This operation could highlight specific frequency components in the quantum state that are significant for predicting protein structures.

Hybrid Quantum-Classical Models:

Assume a simple hybrid model involving a classical component C and a quantum component Q :

$$\text{Hybrid Model Output} = C(Q(\Psi_2))$$

The classical component might perform additional processing on the quantum output, incorporating classical machine learning techniques.

Error Correction in Quantum Computing:

Apply a hypothetical quantum error correction code E to ensure the reliability of quantum computations:

$$(|\Psi_2\rangle) = \Psi_{\text{final}}$$

This process involves error correction mechanisms to address imperfections in the quantum state.

Numerical Values:

Assign hypothetical numerical values to the probability amplitudes:

$$|\Psi_0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}|0\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}|1\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}|2\rangle$$

$$|\Phi_{12}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|01\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|10\rangle$$

Results:

The final quantum state Ψ_{final} represents an optimized quantum state that has undergone predictions, Fourier transformations, and error correction processes. This state could potentially contain information about the most likely protein conformations based on the quantum machine learning model.

The initial quantum state $|\Psi_0\rangle$ employs superposition to encapsulate multiple protein conformations simultaneously, acknowledging the inherent uncertainty in such predictions. The introduced entanglement between qubits 1 and 2 ($|\Phi_{12}\rangle$) symbolizes the interconnectedness of different conformations, emphasizing their interdependence within the quantum system. The hypothetical quantum gate $U_{predict}$ showcases the manipulation of states to accentuate conformations pertinent to protein structure prediction, reflecting the optimization capabilities of quantum algorithms. The subsequent application of a quantum Fourier transform (QFT_{bio}) serves to enhance features relevant to protein structures, mirroring the capability of quantum computations to identify specific molecular patterns critical for accurate predictions. The integration of a hybrid quantum-classical model acknowledges the current limitations of quantum hardware, utilizing classical components for certain computations. The inclusion of a quantum error correction code (E) underscores the importance of addressing errors in quantum computations, a crucial consideration for maintaining the reliability of quantum algorithms in practical applications. The numerical values assigned to probability amplitudes exemplify the probabilistic nature of quantum states, although in real-world scenarios, these values would be determined based on the characteristics of the protein and the quantum algorithm in use. The final quantum state (Ψ_{final}) represents an optimized outcome after predictions, Fourier transformations, and error correction, potentially offering valuable insights into the most likely protein conformations based on the quantum machine learning model.

The main findings of this research unveil the transformative potential of Quantum Machine Learning (QML) in the context of predicting protein structures. The utilization of quantum states, employing concepts such as superposition and entanglement, provides a novel approach to capture the inherent complexity and uncertainty associated with protein conformations. Quantum gates and Fourier transforms emerge as powerful tools for manipulating quantum states, showcasing the capacity of quantum algorithms to discern intricate features crucial for accurate predictions. The integration of hybrid quantum-classical models, acknowledging the limitations of current quantum hardware, represents a pragmatic strategy to harness the strengths of both classical and quantum computing paradigms. Quantum error correction is identified as a pivotal aspect, emphasizing the need for robust

mechanisms to mitigate errors and ensure the reliability of quantum computations. The presented numerical example illustrates the probabilistic nature of quantum states and the potential for obtaining optimized outcomes through quantum machine learning. However, the study also underscores the need for continued interdisciplinary collaboration, algorithmic refinements, and advancements in quantum hardware to bridge the gap between theoretical concepts and practical implementations. These findings collectively highlight the promise of QML in unraveling the complexities of predicting protein structures, paving the way for future breakthroughs in computational biology and bioinformatics.

The future research stemming from this study envisions a multifaceted exploration aimed at advancing Quantum Machine Learning (QML) for Protein Structure Prediction. Firstly, there is a need for a deeper dive into algorithmic refinements, with a focus on the development of sophisticated quantum algorithms tailored explicitly for the intricate task of predicting protein structures. As quantum hardware continues to evolve, investigations into the scalability and performance of these algorithms on state-of-the-art processors are essential, bridging the gap between theoretical advancements and practical implementations. The integration of quantum-inspired classical models represents a promising avenue for research, where hybrid approaches combining classical and quantum strengths can potentially offer more robust and scalable solutions. Experimental validation, through collaborations between quantum computing experts and experimental biologists, becomes paramount to verify and refine the theoretical findings in real-world scenarios. Addressing the challenges posed by noise and errors in quantum computations is another critical area for future exploration. Research into optimized quantum error correction strategies specifically tailored to the unique characteristics of protein structure prediction tasks will be instrumental in ensuring the reliability of quantum machine learning outcomes. Furthermore, the study suggests delving into the incorporation of quantum-inspired neural networks and machine learning architectures. Understanding how quantum principles can enhance classical machine learning models may open new avenues for processing biological data efficiently. The application of quantum machine learning in drug discovery emerges as a natural extension of this research. Investigating how quantum algorithms can accelerate the simulation and analysis of molecular interactions relevant to drug design holds the potential to revolutionize pharmaceutical research. The call for continued interdisciplinary collaboration remains a central theme, emphasizing the importance of joint efforts between quantum physicists, computer scientists, and biologists. Such collaborations can foster a deeper understanding of the biological applications of quantum algorithms and ensure that future quantum machine learning solutions align effectively with the needs of the computational biology community. Lastly, the study underscores the significance of facilitating wider access to quantum computing resources and educational initiatives. Bridging the knowledge gap between quantum computing and biological sciences is crucial for empowering researchers in computational biology to explore and contribute meaningfully to the evolving field of quantum machine learning. Ethical considerations and the establishment of standards for quantum-enabled technologies are also highlighted as integral components of future research, ensuring responsible and transparent deployment of quantum machine learning in protein structure prediction and related fields.

4. Conclusions

The exploration of Quantum Machine Learning (QML) for Protein Structure Prediction reveals a promising avenue for addressing the intricate challenges associated with predicting molecular structures. The utilization of quantum states, including superposition and entanglement, offers a unique approach to capture the complexity and uncertainty inherent in protein conformations. Quantum gates and Fourier transforms exemplify the manipulation and enhancement of quantum states, showcasing the potential of quantum algorithms to discern features critical for accurate predictions. The integration of hybrid quantum-classical models acknowledges the current limitations of quantum hardware, presenting a pragmatic solution that combines classical and quantum computing strengths. Quantum error correction emerges as a pivotal aspect, underscoring the necessity of mitigating errors in quantum computations to ensure the reliability of predictions. The numerical example provides a simplified illustration, emphasizing the probabilistic nature of quantum states and the potential for obtaining optimized outcomes through quantum machine learning. However, it is crucial to recognize the oversimplification of the example, and real-world implementations demand sophisticated algorithms, noise mitigation strategies, and ongoing research to address the challenges posed by quantum computing hardware. As quantum technology continues to advance, interdisciplinary collaboration between quantum physicists, computer scientists, and computational biologists becomes paramount for realizing the full potential of QML in predicting protein structures. In essence, while the exploration of QML for Protein Structure Prediction is in its early stages, the findings point towards a

transformative approach that holds promise for revolutionizing computational biology and bioinformatics. The journey ahead involves continued research, algorithmic refinements, and the development of practical applications that can leverage the power of quantum computing to unravel the complexities of molecular structures.

5. References

- [1] N. Kitadai and S. Maruyama, "Origins of building blocks of life: A review," *Geosci. Front.*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 1117–1153, 2018.
- [2] S. Y. Liaw *et al.*, "Wow, woo, win"-Healthcare students' and facilitators' experiences of interprofessional simulation in three-dimensional virtual world: A qualitative evaluation study," *Nurse Educ. Today*, vol. 105, p. 105018, 2021.
- [3] B. Kuhlman and P. Bradley, "Advances in protein structure prediction and design," *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.*, vol. 20, no. 11, pp. 681–697, 2019.
- [4] T. Siebenmorgen and M. Zacharias, "Computational prediction of protein–protein binding affinities," *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Comput. Mol. Sci.*, vol. 10, no. 3, p. e1448, 2020.
- [5] V. A. Jisna and P. B. Jayaraj, "Protein structure prediction: conventional and deep learning perspectives," *Protein J.*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 522–544, 2021.
- [6] A. Srivastava, T. Nagai, A. Srivastava, O. Miyashita, and F. Tama, "Role of computational methods in going beyond X-ray crystallography to explore protein structure and dynamics," *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, vol. 19, no. 11, p. 3401, 2018.
- [7] C. Outeiral, M. Strahm, J. Shi, G. M. Morris, S. C. Benjamin, and C. M. Deane, "The prospects of quantum computing in computational molecular biology," *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Comput. Mol. Sci.*, vol. 11, no. 1, p. e1481, 2021.
- [8] L. Marchetti *et al.*, "Quantum computing algorithms: getting closer to critical problems in computational biology," *Brief. Bioinform.*, vol. 23, no. 6, p. bbac437, 2022.
- [9] E. National Academies of Sciences and Medicine, "Quantum computing: progress and prospects," 2019.
- [10] Y. Cao, J. Romero, and A. Aspuru-Guzik, "Potential of quantum computing for drug discovery," *IBM J. Res. Dev.*, vol. 62, no. 6, pp. 1–6, 2018.
- [11] T. Nguyen and N. T. Anh, "How Quantum Mechanics and Machine Learning Could Collaboratively Advance the Field of Pharmaceutical Research," *Eig. Rev. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 266–276, 2023.
- [12] K. Egon, J. ROSINSKI, and L. KARL, "Quantum Machine Learning: The Confluence of Quantum Computing and AI," 2023.
- [13] S. Bhuvaneswari, R. Deepakraj, S. Urooj, N. Sharma, and N. Pathak, "Computational Analysis: Unveiling the Quantum Algorithms for Protein Analysis and Predictions," *IEEE Access*, 2023.
- [14] M. Avramouli, I. K. Savvas, A. Vasilaki, and G. Garani, "Unlocking the Potential of Quantum Machine Learning to Advance Drug Discovery," *Electronics*, vol. 12, no. 11, p. 2402, 2023.
- [15] S. McWeeney *et al.*, "Quantum Computing for Biomedical Computational and Data Sciences: A Joint DOE-NIH Roundtable," USDOE Office of Science (SC)(United States), 2023.
- [16] R. Pearce and Y. Zhang, "Deep learning techniques have significantly impacted protein structure prediction and protein design," *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.*, vol. 68, pp. 194–207, 2021.
- [17] A. Ecoffet, J. Huizinga, J. Lehman, K. O. Stanley, and J. Clune, "First return, then explore," *Nature*, vol. 590, no. 7847, pp. 580–586, 2021.
- [18] V. Dunjko and H. J. Briegel, "Machine learning & artificial intelligence in the quantum domain: a review of recent progress," *Reports Prog. Phys.*, vol. 81, no. 7, p. 74001, 2018.
- [19] C. Ciliberto *et al.*, "Quantum machine learning: a classical perspective," *Proc. R. Soc. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.*, vol. 474, no. 2209, p. 20170551, 2018.
- [20] M. H. Ullah, R. Eskandarpour, H. Zheng, and A. Khodaei, "Quantum computing for smart grid applications," *IET Gener. Transm. Distrib.*, vol. 16, no. 21, pp. 4239–4257, 2022.
- [21] M. Kim, D. Venturelli, and K. Jamieson, "Towards hybrid classical-quantum computation structures in wirelessly-networked systems," in *Proceedings of the 19th ACM Workshop on Hot Topics in Networks*, 2020, pp. 110–116.
- [22] P. Adebayo, F. Basaky, and E. Osaghae, "Variational Quantum-Classical Algorithms: A Review of Theory, Applications, and Opportunities," *UMYU Sci.*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 65–75, 2023.
- [23] R. K. Jha, "From Quantum Computing to Quantum-inspired Computation for Neuromorphic Advancement--A Survey," *Authorea Prepr.*, 2023.
- [24] A. Jacquier, O. Kondratyev, A. Lipton, and M. L. de Prado, *Quantum Machine Learning and Optimisation in Finance: On the Road to Quantum Advantage*. Packt Publishing Ltd, 2022.
- [25] T. Morawietz and N. Artrith, "Machine learning-accelerated quantum mechanics-based atomistic simulations for industrial applications," *J. Comput. Aided. Mol. Des.*, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 557–586, 2021.
- [26] T. F. G. G. Cova and A. A. C. C. Pais, "Deep learning for deep chemistry: optimizing the prediction of chemical patterns," *Front. Chem.*, vol. 7, p. 809, 2019.
- [27] S. Pal, M. Bhattacharya, S. Dash, S.-S. Lee, and C. Chakraborty, "Future Potential of Quantum Computing and Simulations in Biological Science," *Mol. Biotechnol.*, pp. 1–18, 2023.
- [28] J. Tilly *et al.*, "The variational quantum eigensolver: a review of methods and best practices," *Phys. Rep.*, vol. 986, pp. 1–128, 2022.
- [29] D. Maheshwari, B. Garcia-Zapirain, and D. Sierra-Sosa, "Quantum machine learning applications in the biomedical domain: A systematic review," *Ieee Access*, 2022.
- [30] Y. Han *et al.*, "Machine learning accelerates quantum mechanics predictions of molecular crystals," *Phys. Rep.*, vol.

- 934, pp. 1–71, 2021.
- [31] A. Baiardi, M. Christandl, and M. Reiher, “Quantum computing for molecular biology,” *ChemBioChem*, vol. 24, no. 13, p. e202300120, 2023.
- [32] J. Biamonte, P. Wittek, N. Pancotti, P. Rebentrost, N. Wiebe, and S. Lloyd, “Quantum machine learning,” *Nature*, vol. 549, no. 7671, pp. 195–202, 2017.
- [33] M. Rupp, A. Tkatchenko, K.-R. Müller, and O. A. Von Lilienfeld, “Fast and accurate modeling of molecular atomization energies with machine learning,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 108, no. 5, p. 58301, 2012.
- [34] G. Montavon *et al.*, “Learning invariant representations of molecules for atomization energy prediction,” *Adv. Neural Inf. Process. Syst.*, vol. 25, 2012.
- [35] J. R. McClean, J. Romero, R. Babbush, and A. Aspuru-Guzik, “The theory of variational hybrid quantum-classical algorithms,” *New J. Phys.*, vol. 18, no. 2, p. 23023, 2016.
- [36] P. J. J. O’Malley *et al.*, “Scalable quantum simulation of molecular energies,” *Phys. Rev. X*, vol. 6, no. 3, p. 31007, 2016.
- [37] L. Alchieri, D. Badalotti, P. Bonardi, and S. Bianco, “An introduction to quantum machine learning: from quantum logic to quantum deep learning,” *Quantum Mach. Intell.*, vol. 3, pp. 1–30, 2021.
- [38] A. Robert, P. K. Barkoutsos, S. Woerner, and I. Tavernelli, “Resource-efficient quantum algorithm for protein folding,” *npj Quantum Inf.*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 38, 2021.
- [39] Y. Zhang, H. Deng, Q. Li, H. Song, and L. Nie, “Optimizing quantum programs against decoherence: Delaying qubits into quantum superposition,” in *2019 International Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Software Engineering (TASE)*, IEEE, 2019, pp. 184–191.
- [40] F. R. Cardoso, D. Z. Rossatto, G. P. L. M. Fernandes, G. Higgins, and C. J. Villas-Boas, “Superposition of two-mode squeezed states for quantum information processing and quantum sensing,” *Phys. Rev. A*, vol. 103, no. 6, p. 62405, 2021.
- [41] D. Paneru, E. Cohen, R. Fickler, R. W. Boyd, and E. Karimi, “Entanglement: quantum or classical?,” *Reports Prog. Phys.*, vol. 83, no. 6, p. 64001, 2020.
- [42] A. Holmes, S. Johri, G. G. Guerreschi, J. S. Clarke, and A. Y. Matsuura, “Impact of qubit connectivity on quantum algorithm performance,” *Quantum Sci. Technol.*, vol. 5, no. 2, p. 25009, 2020.
- [43] A. Shukla and P. Vedula, “A quantum approach for digital signal processing,” *Eur. Phys. J. Plus*, vol. 138, no. 12, pp. 1–24, 2023.
- [44] M. Mastriani, “Quantum-classical algorithm for an instantaneous spectral analysis of signals: a complement to Fourier Theory,” 2018.
- [45] P. Nimbe, B. A. Weyori, and A. F. Adekoya, “Models in quantum computing: a systematic review,” *Quantum Inf. Process.*, vol. 20, no. 2, p. 80, 2021.
- [46] A. Ajagekar, T. Humble, and F. You, “Quantum computing based hybrid solution strategies for large-scale discrete-continuous optimization problems,” *Comput. Chem. Eng.*, vol. 132, p. 106630, 2020.
- [47] S. S. Tannu and M. Qureshi, “Ensemble of diverse mappings: Improving reliability of quantum computers by orchestrating dissimilar mistakes,” in *Proceedings of the 52nd Annual IEEE/ACM International Symposium on Microarchitecture*, 2019, pp. 253–265.
- [48] M. Swathi and B. Rudra, “A novel approach for asymmetric quantum error correction with syndrome measurement,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 10, pp. 44669–44676, 2022.
- [49] P. Fuentes-Ugartemendia, “Error correction for reliable quantum computing,” 2022.