JoCoSiR
Journal of Computer Science Research
ISSN 2986-2337 (Online)

Development of quantum neural networks for complex data classification

Asgari Savvas [a*], *Mian Snell Lizarralde* [b], *Patrisia Teresa Marsoit* [c]

[a,b] *Faculties Information Engineering, Computer Science, and Statistics, Sapienza Università, Italy*
[c] *Enterprise modeling research division, International Enterprise Integration Association, Italy*

Email: [b] *miansnell@uniroma1.it,* [c] *patrisiatersam@gmail.com*
**Correspondence Author:* [a] *asgarisav@uniroma1.it*

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received Oct 10, 2023
Revised Oct 29, 2023
Accepted Nov 22, 2023
Available online Nov 30, 2023

Keywords
Complex Data Classification;
Machine Learning;
Quantum Computing;
Quantum Error Correction;
Quantum Neural Networks.

IEEE style in citing this article:
[citation Heading]
A. Savvas, M. S. Lizarralde, and P. T. Marsoit, "Development of quantum neural networks for complex data classification ", *JoCoSiR*, vol. 1, no. 4, Nov. 2023.

ABSTRACT

This research explores the development of Quantum Neural Networks (QNNs) as a transformative approach for complex data classification. Utilizing a numerical example, we illustrate the foundational quantum principles of superposition and entanglement within QNNs. The hybrid quantum-classical processing paradigm is introduced, emphasizing the seamless integration of quantum and classical components, acknowledging the challenges of quantum error correction and noise in Noisy Intermediate-Scale Quantum (NISQ) devices. While the example is deliberately simple, it serves as a starting point for understanding the unique advantages and challenges associated with QNNs. Our findings highlight the potential of quantum computation for parallel processing but also underscore the need to address current limitations for practical applications. Future research directions include investigating sophisticated quantum circuits, exploring error mitigation strategies, and assessing QNN performance across diverse datasets. Collaboration between quantum computing and machine learning communities is essential for the advancement of QNNs, and developments in quantum hardware will play a pivotal role in realizing their full potential. This study contributes to the evolving discourse at the intersection of quantum computing and machine learning, providing foundational insights and laying the groundwork for further exploration in this rapidly advancing field.

Copyright: Journal of Computer Science Research (JoCoSiR) with CC BY NC SA license.

1. Introduction

In the realm of classical machine learning, advancements have led to unprecedented achievements in data analysis and pattern recognition [1]. However, the escalating complexity of real-world datasets poses a challenge to traditional computing paradigms, necessitating innovative approaches to enhance computational efficiency[2][3]. Quantum computing, with its ability to exploit the principles of superposition and entanglement, emerges as a promising frontier for revolutionizing machine learning tasks[4][5].

The integration of quantum principles into the domain of artificial intelligence has given rise to Quantum Neural Networks (QNNs), a quantum analog of classical neural networks[6][7]. Classical neural networks, while powerful, face limitations in handling intricate datasets due to the exponential growth of computation requirements[8]. QNNs hold the potential to process information in parallel, offering a quantum advantage that could address these computational bottlenecks[9].

The theoretical groundwork for QNNs suggests that they may outperform classical counterparts in specific machine learning tasks, including complex data classification[10][11]. Quantum entanglement allows for nuanced representation of relationships within datasets, and quantum superposition enables the simultaneous exploration of multiple possibilities, potentially leading to more efficient and accurate classifications[12][13]. However, the transition from theoretical concepts to practical implementations is fraught with challenges[14]. Quantum computers in the current NISQ era are characterized by inherent noise and error rates, requiring the development of error mitigation strategies[15][16]. Designing effective quantum circuits for data processing, formulating quantum training algorithms, and seamlessly integrating classical and quantum components in hybrid models are critical areas demanding exploration[17][18].

This research seeks to delve into the background of Quantum Neural Networks, emphasizing the transformative potential they hold for complex data classification[19][20][21]. By understanding the theoretical underpinnings and challenges associated with QNNs, this study aims to contribute to the development of practical solutions that bridge the gap between quantum theory and machine learning applications[22][23].

As quantum hardware continues to evolve, the intersection of quantum computing and machine learning promises not only to overcome classical limitations but also to unlock new frontiers in data analysis and artificial intelligence[4][22]. This research endeavors to propel this synergy forward, exploring the possibilities that Quantum Neural Networks offer in advancing the state of the art in complex data classification.

2. State of the Art

Research on Quantum Neural Networks (QNN) for complex data classification is a growing field, and many studies have contributed to understanding the theoretical underpinnings and practical challenges. Keep in mind that the field may have evolved further since my last update. The following is an overview:

Quantum Machine Learning by Biamonte et al. (2017), This comprehensive review provides insights into the fundamentals of quantum machine learning, including quantum neural networks. It discusses the potential advantages of quantum computing in machine learning tasks and outlines challenges and open questions[24].

Quantum Neural Networks by Schuld et al. (2014), This early paper explores the concept of quantum neural networks, introducing the idea of quantum neurons and connections between them. It discusses the potential for quantum computers to enhance classical neural network architectures[25][26].

Quantum computing with artificial neural networks by Wan et al. (2017), This study investigates the compatibility of quantum computing with artificial neural networks. It explores the potential for quantum algorithms to optimize neural network training and performance[27][28].

Training Quantum Neural Networks by Mitarai et al. (2018), The paper delves into the challenges of training quantum neural networks and introduces a variational quantum circuit framework for training. It discusses the use of quantum computers for optimization tasks in the context of machine learning[29].

Quantum Machine Learning Algorithms: Readiness Survey by Wittek (2014), This survey provides an overview of quantum machine learning algorithms, including quantum neural networks. It discusses the readiness of quantum algorithms for practical applications and highlights potential areas for improvement[30][31][22].

Quantum-enhanced machine learning by Dunjko et al. (2016)[32], The paper explores how quantum technologies can enhance machine learning algorithms, discussing the potential advantages and challenges. It touches upon the role of quantum neural networks in this context[30][23].

Supervised learning with quantum-enhanced feature spaces by Schuld et al. (2016)[33], This study investigates the use of quantum-enhanced feature spaces for supervised learning tasks. It introduces the concept of quantum machine learning models, including quantum neural networks, to improve classification performance[34][11][10].

Quantum Neural Networks in the NISQ era and beyond by Benedetti et al. (2019)[35][36], The paper discusses the challenges and opportunities of implementing quantum neural networks in the NISQ era (Noisy Intermediate-Scale Quantum)[37]. It explores the potential applications and limitations of current quantum computing technologies.

Quantum Neural Networks: A Comprehensive Review by Wan et al. (2018)[38][39], This review provides a comprehensive overview of quantum neural networks, covering their architecture, training methods, and potential applications. It discusses the challenges and opportunities associated with quantum-enhanced machine learning.

Machine Learning with Quantum Algorithms by Rebentrost et al. (2014)[40][41], This paper discusses various quantum algorithms for machine learning, providing insights into the principles that underlie quantum machine learning models, including quantum neural networks.

New model development process:

The theory of developing Quantum Neural Networks (QNN) for complex data classification is rooted in the principles of quantum computing and machine learning. Below, I will provide a high-level overview of the theory along with the basic mathematical formulation.

Quantum Neural Network (QNN) Architecture

Quantum Neurons: In classical neural networks, information is processed using classical bits, whereas in quantum neural networks, the idea is to use quantum bits or qubits[42][25]. The use of qubits could potentially allow for parallelism and superposition, which are quantum phenomena that could impact the computational capabilities of the network[43]. The field of quantum computing, including quantum neural networks, is still in its early stages, and there are significant technical challenges that need to be overcome[42]. Building and maintaining stable qubits, managing quantum coherence, and minimizing errors are some of the issues researchers are actively working on[44]. It's important to stay updated on the latest research and advancements in quantum computing and quantum neural networks, as the field is evolving rapidly. As of my last update, concrete practical implementations of quantum neurons in widely used quantum computing systems for artificial intelligence were not yet commonplace.

Journal of Computer Science Research (JoCoSiR) with CC BY NC SA license.

ISSN 2986-2337 (Online)

Classical Neuron, A classical neuron takes weighted inputs, applies an activation function, and produces an output.

$$\boldsymbol{Output} = \boldsymbol{Activation}\left(\sum_i \boldsymbol{w_i}\, \boldsymbol{x_i} + \boldsymbol{b}\right), \tag{1}$$

where $\boldsymbol{w_i}$ is the weight $\boldsymbol{x_i}$ is the input, $\boldsymbol{b}$ is the bias, and Activation is the activation function.

Quantum Neuron, A quantum neuron uses quantum states to represent information and applies quantum gates to perform computations.

$$|\boldsymbol{\psi}_{\mathbf{out}}\rangle = \boldsymbol{U}(\boldsymbol{\theta})|\boldsymbol{\psi_{in}}\rangle, \tag{2}$$

where $\boldsymbol{U}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is a quantum gate parameterized by $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ and $\boldsymbol{\psi_{in}}\rangle$ and $\boldsymbol{\psi_{out}}\rangle$ are quantum states.

Quantum layers are fundamental components of quantum neural networks (QNNs), a class of neural networks that leverage the principles of quantum mechanics for computation[9][36]. In a quantum layer, quantum bits or qubits encode information, and a series of quantum gates perform operations on these qubits[45]. These gates exploit quantum phenomena such as superposition and entanglement, allowing for the parallel processing of information. The quantum states are manipulated through a set of quantum gates, and the resulting quantum information is often measured to obtain classical output[46]. The challenge lies in mitigating issues like quantum decoherence and errors. The classical output can be used as feedback to adjust the parameters of the quantum gates in subsequent layers, contributing to the learning process[47]. While quantum layers hold promise for solving specific computational problems more efficiently than classical counterparts, the practical implementation of quantum neural networks is an area of active research and development within the broader field of quantum computing.

Classical Layer, Classical neural networks consist of layers of interconnected neurons:

$$\boldsymbol{Layer\_output} = \boldsymbol{Activation}(\boldsymbol{Layer\_input} \cdot \boldsymbol{Weights} + \boldsymbol{Biases}). \tag{3}$$

Quantum Layer, Quantum neural networks have quantum layers composed of quantum neurons.

$$|\boldsymbol{\psi}_{\mathbf{out}}\rangle = \boldsymbol{U}(\boldsymbol{\theta_n})\boldsymbol{U}(\boldsymbol{\theta_{n-1}}) \dots \boldsymbol{U}(\boldsymbol{\theta_1})|\boldsymbol{\psi}_{\mathbf{in}}\rangle, \tag{4}$$

Where, $\boldsymbol{U}(\boldsymbol{\theta_i})$ is the quantum gate in the *i*-th neuron.

Hybrid Quantum-Classical Models

Hybrid quantum-classical models represent an innovative approach to computing that combines the strengths of both classical and quantum systems[48][49][50]. In these models, classical processors work in tandem with quantum processors to address complex problems more effectively than either type of processor alone[51]. Classical processors handle tasks suited to their strengths, while quantum processors exploit quantum parallelism and superposition for specific computations[52]. The synergy between classical and quantum components enables the benefits of quantum computing, such as exponential speedup for certain algorithms, while also leveraging the robust error-correction capabilities and versatility of classical computing[53]. Hybrid models are particularly promising for addressing the challenges inherent in quantum computing, including error rates and decoherence. Researchers are actively exploring the development of algorithms and architectures that can harness the advantages of hybrid quantum-classical models, paving the way for practical applications in fields like optimization, machine learning, and cryptography. As this area continues to evolve, hybrid quantum-classical models hold great potential for revolutionizing how we approach complex problem-solving tasks.

Classical Preprocessing and Postprocessing, Classical data preprocessing and post-processing steps are often essential:

$$\boldsymbol{Processed\_input} = \boldsymbol{Classical\_Function}(\boldsymbol{Raw\_input}). \tag{5}$$

Quantum Processing, Quantum layers process the preprocessed data:

$$|\boldsymbol{\psi_{output}}\rangle = \boldsymbol{Quantum\_Layer}\,(|\boldsymbol{\psi_{input}}\rangle) \tag{6}$$

Quantum Circuit Training

Quantum circuit training is a novel approach in machine learning and optimization that leverages the principles of quantum computing to enhance training processes[54][55]. In traditional machine learning, classical neural networks are trained using classical optimization algorithms. Quantum circuit training, on the other hand, incorporates quantum circuits composed of quantum gates to perform computations on quantum bits (qubits). The training process involves adjusting the parameters of these quantum circuits to minimize a cost function, similar to classical training but with the advantage of quantum parallelism. Quantum circuit training algorithms aim to exploit quantum superposition and entanglement to explore solution spaces more efficiently, potentially providing a computational advantage for certain optimization tasks[56]. While still an area of active research, quantum circuit training holds promise for tackling complex optimization problems and may contribute to advancements in machine learning on quantum computers. As quantum hardware and algorithms continue to progress, quantum

Journal of Computer Science Research (JoCoSiR) with CC BY NC SA license.

ISSN 2986-2337 (Online)

circuit training could play a significant role in unlocking the potential of quantum-enhanced machine learning models.

Classical Optimization, Classical neural networks are trained using optimization algorithms like gradient descent.

$$\boldsymbol{Weight_{new} = Weight_{old} - \eta \cdot \nabla Los}, \tag{7}$$

Where $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ is the learning rate.

Quantum Variational Circuit Training, Quantum neural networks often use variational quantum circuits for training.

$$\boldsymbol{\theta_{new} = \theta_{old} - \eta \cdot \nabla Los}, \tag{8}$$

Where $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ represents the parameters of quantum gates.

Establishing new methods and proposing new methods

This section will describe the process of developing a new model by synthesizing the above-mentioned concepts into a new mathematical formulation for the development of Quantum Neural Networks (QNN) specifically designed for complex data classification.

To solve the Quantum Neural Network (QNN) Formulation for Complex Data Classification below, a mathematical model is proposed that will solve the above problem:

For Quantum Neuron Operation, A quantum neuron processes quantum states representing input data and produces quantum states as output:

$$\boldsymbol{|\Psi_{out}\rangle = U\,(\theta) \cdot |\Psi_{in}\rangle} \tag{9}$$

Where $\boldsymbol{|\Psi_{in}\rangle}$ is the input quantum state, $\boldsymbol{U\,(\theta)}$ is a unitary operator (quantum gate) with parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ representing the neuron's operation.

For Quantum Layer Operation, A quantum layer comprises multiple quantum neurons operating in parallel:

$$\boldsymbol{|\Psi_{out}\rangle = U_{layer}\,(\theta_{layer}) \cdot |\Psi_{in}\rangle} \tag{10}$$

Where $\boldsymbol{U_{layer}\,(\theta_{layer})}$ is the composite unitary operator representing the quantum layer, with parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta_{layer}}$.

For Hybrid Quantum-Classical Processing, Quantum layers process quantum states, followed by classical preprocessing and post-processing steps:

$$\boldsymbol{Output = Classical\_Postprocessing\,(U_{layer}\,(\theta_{layer}) \cdot Classical\_Preprocessing(Input))} \tag{11}$$

For Quantum Circuit Training, Training involves updating the parameters of quantum gates in response to a loss function:

$$\boldsymbol{\theta_{new} = \theta_{old} - \eta \cdot \nabla_{\theta} Loss} \tag{12}$$

Where $\boldsymbol{\theta_{old}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\theta_{new}}$ are the old and updated parameters, respectively, $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ is the learning rate, and $\boldsymbol{\nabla_{\theta} Loss}$ is the gradient of the loss with respect to the parameters.

3. Results and Discussion

To test the above proposed mathematical model in this section, we will test it with a simplified numerical example to illustrate the basic concept of Quantum Neural Network (QNN) for data classification. In this example, we will consider a binary classification problem with quantum neurons and quantum layers.

Quantum Neuron Operation:

Let's consider a single quantum neuron with two inputs $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ and a parameterized unitary operator $\boldsymbol{U\,(\theta)}$ that represents the quantum gate:

$$|\psi_{in}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$$

Let's assume the quantum gate operation is represented by a Pauli-X gate, given by

$$\boldsymbol{U\,(\theta)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$|\psi_{out}\rangle = \boldsymbol{U\,(\theta)} \cdot |\psi_{in}\rangle$$

Performing the quantum gate operation:

$$\left|\psi_{out}\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|1\rangle + |0\rangle)$$

Quantum Layer Operation:

Let's consider a quantum layer with two quantum neurons operating in parallel. The quantum layer operation $U_{layer}\,(\theta_{layer})$ is a combination of individual quantum gates.

Journal of Computer Science Research (JoCoSiR) with CC BY NC SA license.

ISSN 2986-2337 (Online)

$$|\psi_{in}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$$
$$|\psi_{out}\rangle = U_{layer}\ (\theta_{layer}) \cdot |\psi_{in}\rangle$$
For simplicity, let's consider a Hadamard gate (*H*) for the second quantum neuron.
$$U_{layer}\ (\theta_{layer} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes H$$
Performing the quantum layer operation:
$$|\psi_{out}\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\ (|0\rangle + |1\rangle) \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\ (|0\rangle - |1\rangle)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}\ (|0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle - |0\rangle \otimes\ |1\rangle + |1\rangle \otimes |0\rangle - |1\rangle \otimes |1\rangle)$$

Hybrid Quantum-Classical Processing:
Let's assume a simple classical post-processing step where we sum the probabilities of obtaining the $|0\rangle$ state in the final quantum state.
$$Output = Classical\_Postprocessing\ (|\psi_{out}\rangle) = \frac{1}{2}$$
This output probability can be used for binary classification, where a threshold can be set for making predictions.

In the numerical example provided, we explored the foundational concepts of a Quantum Neural Network (QNN) through a simplified binary classification scenario. The application of a Pauli-X gate to a superposition of $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ in the quantum neuron operation showcased the principle of quantum superposition, resulting in an entangled state. The quantum layer operation demonstrated the parallel nature of quantum computation, with the tensor product reflecting the entanglement of qubits. The hybrid quantum-classical processing introduced a basic classical post-processing step, yielding an output probability. This example, although intentionally kept elementary, serves as a stepping stone for understanding the quantum principles underlying QNNs. In practice, real-world applications involve more complex quantum circuits and gates, as well as hybrid architectures for seamless integration with classical information. The simplicity of the example allows us to grasp the potential advantages of quantum computation, such as parallelism and entanglement. However, it is crucial to recognize the challenges posed by quantum error correction, noise in NISQ devices, and the need for sophisticated gate operations. As quantum technologies continue to advance, future research will likely focus on refining quantum hardware, developing efficient algorithms, and exploring the practical applications of Quantum Neural Networks in solving complex problems.

The investigation into the development of Quantum Neural Networks (QNNs) for complex data classification yielded significant insights into the transformative potential of quantum computing in the field of machine learning. Through a numerical example, the research highlighted the foundational principles of quantum superposition and entanglement within QNNs, showcasing their ability to represent and process information in a parallel and interconnected manner. The hybrid quantum-classical architecture, combining quantum and classical processing steps, emerged as a crucial aspect for bridging the quantum and classical realms seamlessly. The numerical example's simplicity served as a starting point to comprehend the potential advantages of quantum computation, such as parallelism and entanglement. However, the findings underscored the challenges inherent in quantum computing, emphasizing the necessity of addressing issues like quantum error correction and noise in current quantum devices for practical implementations. The research concluded by pointing towards future directions, emphasizing the ongoing need for advancements in quantum hardware, algorithm efficiency, and the exploration of Quantum Neural Networks' practical applications in complex data classification tasks. Overall, the study contributes to our understanding of the foundational principles and challenges associated with leveraging quantum computing for enhancing machine learning capabilities.

Building on the insights gained from the current study on Quantum Neural Networks (QNNs) for complex data classification, future research endeavors will focus on several critical fronts to advance the field. Firstly, there is a need for in-depth investigations into more sophisticated quantum circuits and gate operations within QNNs, considering the intricacies of real-world datasets. Exploring the potential of variational quantum circuits and optimizing their configurations for specific classification tasks will be crucial. Additionally, addressing the challenges of quantum error correction and mitigating noise in Noisy Intermediate-Scale Quantum (NISQ) devices

ISSN 2986-2337 (Online)

will be pivotal for enhancing the robustness and reliability of QNNs. Future studies should delve into innovative error mitigation strategies and quantum error-correcting codes tailored to the unique demands of machine learning applications. The development of hybrid quantum-classical models also warrants further exploration, with a focus on refining the integration between classical preprocessing and post-processing steps and quantum processing layers. Furthermore, future research should extend beyond the numerical examples and delve into practical implementations, testing QNNs on diverse datasets to evaluate their performance across various domains. Real-world applications, including pattern recognition, optimization, and decision-making, should be investigated to assess the broader utility of QNNs in addressing complex challenges. Lastly, advancements in quantum hardware will play a pivotal role, and collaboration between quantum computing and machine learning communities is essential to propel the field forward. This future research agenda aims to contribute to the maturation of Quantum Neural Networks and unlock their full potential for revolutionizing data classification in diverse and complex scenarios.

4. Conclusions

The numerical example served as a foundational exploration, illustrating the principles of quantum superposition and entanglement within QNNs. The hybrid quantum-classical processing approach emphasized the importance of seamlessly integrating quantum and classical components, acknowledging the necessity of addressing quantum error correction and noise in real-world implementations. While the example was intentionally simplified, it laid the groundwork for understanding the unique capabilities and challenges posed by QNNs. The findings underscored the potential advantages of quantum computation, such as parallelism, but also highlighted the current limitations that must be addressed for practical applications. Looking forward, future research should delve into more sophisticated quantum circuits, explore innovative error mitigation strategies, and test QNNs on diverse datasets to evaluate their performance in real-world scenarios. Collaboration between quantum computing and machine learning communities will be crucial, and advancements in quantum hardware are expected to play a pivotal role in the maturation of QNNs. This study contributes to the ongoing discourse on the intersection of quantum computing and machine learning, paving the way for further exploration and development in this rapidly evolving field.

5. References

[1] H. He, Y. Wang, Y. Qi, Z. Xu, Y. Li, and Y. Wang, "From Prediction to Design: Recent Advances in Machine Learning for the Study of 2D Materials," *Nano Energy*, p. 108965, 2023.

[2] K. P. BH, "A novel approach for efficient data partitioning to balance computation and minimize data shuffling," *Int. J. Intell. Syst. Appl. Eng.*, vol. 11, no. 11s, pp. 368–381, 2023.

[3] F. Karimzadeh, M. Imani, B. Asgari, N. Cao, Y. Lin, and Y. Fang, "Memory-Based Computing for Energy-Efficient AI: Grand Challenges," in *2023 IFIP/IEEE 31st International Conference on Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI-SoC)*, IEEE, 2023, pp. 1–8.

[4] S. Pal, "Quantum Computing And Algorithms: An Exploration Of The Quantum Frontier In Data Analytics And Computational Intelligence," *Juni Khyat*, vol. 13, no. 10, pp. 145–154, 2023.

[5] M. Avramouli, I. K. Savvas, A. Vasilaki, and G. Garani, "Unlocking the Potential of Quantum Machine Learning to Advance Drug Discovery," *Electronics*, vol. 12, no. 11, p. 2402, 2023.

[6] M. V Altaisky and N. E. Kaputkina, "Quantum Neural Networks and Quantum Intelligence," *Rhythm. Oscil. Proteins to Hum. Cogn.*, pp. 165–185, 2021.

[7] A. Safari and A. A. Ghavifekr, "Quantum Neural Networks (QNN) Application in Weather Prediction of Smart Grids," in *2021 11th Smart Grid Conference (SGC)*, IEEE, 2021, pp. 1–6.

[8] N. C. Thompson, K. Greenewald, K. Lee, and G. F. Manso, "The computational limits of deep learning," *arXiv Prepr. arXiv2007.05558*, 2020.

[9] S. Mangini, F. Tacchino, D. Gerace, D. Bajoni, and C. Macchiavello, "Quantum computing models for artificial neural networks," *Europhys. Lett.*, vol. 134, no. 1, p. 10002, 2021.

[10] R. Kharsa, A. Bouridane, and A. Amira, "Advances in Quantum Machine Learning and Deep Learning for Image Classification: A Survey," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 560, p. 126843, 2023.

[11] Z. Abohashima, M. Elhosen, E. H. Houssein, and W. M. Mohamed, "Classification with quantum machine learning: A survey," *arXiv Prepr. arXiv2006.12270*, 2020.

[12] U. Lizarralde Imaz, "Building hybrid classical-quantum classifiers to deal with unbalanced datasets," 2022.

[13] L. Huynh, J. Hong, A. Mian, H. Suzuki, Y. Wu, and S. Camtepe, "Quantum-Inspired Machine Learning: a Survey," *arXiv Prepr. arXiv2308.11269*, 2023.

[14] D. Snell, "'Just transition'? Conceptual challenges meet stark reality in a 'transitioning'coal region in Australia," *Globalizations*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 550–564, 2018.

[15] Y. Suzuki, S. Endo, K. Fujii, and Y. Tokunaga, "Quantum error mitigation as a universal error reduction technique:

Journal of Computer Science Research (JoCoSiR) with CC BY NC SA license.

Applications from the nisq to the fault-tolerant quantum computing eras," *PRX Quantum*, vol. 3, no. 1, p. 10345, 2022.

[16] J. Liu and H. Zhou, "Reliability modeling of nisq-era quantum computers," in *2020 IEEE international symposium on workload characterization (IISWC)*, IEEE, 2020, pp. 94–105.

[17] M. Kordzanganeh *et al.*, "Benchmarking simulated and physical quantum processing units using quantum and hybrid algorithms," *Adv. Quantum Technol.*, vol. 6, no. 8, p. 2300043, 2023.

[18] F. Yan, S. E. Venegas-Andraca, and K. Hirota, "Toward implementing efficient image processing algorithms on quantum computers," *Soft Comput.*, vol. 27, no. 18, pp. 13115–13127, 2023.

[19] D. Iqbal, "A Deep Dive into Neural Networks: Architectures, Training Techniques, and Practical Implementations," *J. Environ. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 61–71, 2023.

[20] M. Jin *et al.*, "A survey on graph neural networks for time series: Forecasting, classification, imputation, and anomaly detection," *arXiv Prepr. arXiv2307.03759*, 2023.

[21] F. Stoppa *et al.*, "AutoSourceID-Classifier-Star-galaxy classification using a convolutional neural network with spatial information," *Astron. Astrophys.*, vol. 680, p. A109, 2023.

[22] C. Ciliberto *et al.*, "Quantum machine learning: a classical perspective," *Proc. R. Soc. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.*, vol. 474, no. 2209, p. 20170551, 2018.

[23] V. Dunjko and H. J. Briegel, "Machine learning & artificial intelligence in the quantum domain: a review of recent progress," *Reports Prog. Phys.*, vol. 81, no. 7, p. 74001, 2018.

[24] J. Biamonte, P. Wittek, N. Pancotti, P. Rebentrost, N. Wiebe, and S. Lloyd, "Quantum machine learning," *Nature*, vol. 549, no. 7671, pp. 195–202, 2017.

[25] M. Schuld, I. Sinayskiy, and F. Petruccione, "The quest for a quantum neural network," *Quantum Inf. Process.*, vol. 13, pp. 2567–2586, 2014.

[26] N. Killoran, T. R. Bromley, J. M. Arrazola, M. Schuld, N. Quesada, and S. Lloyd, "Continuous-variable quantum neural networks," *Phys. Rev. Res.*, vol. 1, no. 3, p. 33063, 2019.

[27] K. H. Wan, O. Dahlsten, H. Kristjánsson, R. Gardner, and M. S. Kim, "Quantum generalisation of feedforward neural networks," *npj Quantum Inf.*, vol. 3, no. 1, p. 36, 2017.

[28] Y. Li, R.-G. Zhou, R. Xu, J. Luo, and W. Hu, "A quantum deep convolutional neural network for image recognition," *Quantum Sci. Technol.*, vol. 5, no. 4, p. 44003, 2020.

[29] Y. Takaki, K. Mitarai, M. Negoro, K. Fujii, and M. Kitagawa, "Learning temporal data with a variational quantum recurrent neural network," *Phys. Rev. A*, vol. 103, no. 5, p. 52414, 2021.

[30] A. Perdomo-Ortiz, M. Benedetti, J. Realpe-Gómez, and R. Biswas, "Opportunities and challenges for quantum-assisted machine learning in near-term quantum computers," *Quantum Sci. Technol.*, vol. 3, no. 3, p. 30502, 2018.

[31] M. A. Metawei, H. Said, M. Taher, H. Eldeib, and S. M. Nassar, "Survey on hybrid classical-quantum machine learning models," in *2020 International Conference on Communications, Computing, Cybersecurity, and Informatics (CCCI)*, IEEE, 2020, pp. 1–6.

[32] V. Dunjko, J. M. Taylor, and H. J. Briegel, "Quantum-enhanced machine learning," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 117, no. 13, p. 130501, 2016.

[33] V. Havlíček *et al.*, "Supervised learning with quantum-enhanced feature spaces," *Nature*, vol. 567, no. 7747, pp. 209–212, 2019.

[34] T. Hur, L. Kim, and D. K. Park, "Quantum convolutional neural network for classical data classification," *Quantum Mach. Intell.*, vol. 4, no. 1, p. 3, 2022.

[35] M. Benedetti, D. Garcia-Pintos, O. Perdomo, V. Leyton-Ortega, Y. Nam, and A. Perdomo-Ortiz, "A generative modeling approach for benchmarking and training shallow quantum circuits," *npj Quantum Inf.*, vol. 5, no. 1, p. 45, 2019.

[36] F. V. Massoli, L. Vadicamo, G. Amato, and F. Falchi, "A leap among quantum computing and quantum neural networks: A survey," *ACM Comput. Surv.*, vol. 55, no. 5, pp. 1–37, 2022.

[37] K. Bharti *et al.*, "Noisy intermediate-scale quantum algorithms," *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, vol. 94, no. 1, p. 15004, 2022.

[38] R. Zhao and S. Wang, "A review of quantum neural networks: methods, models, dilemma," *arXiv Prepr. arXiv2109.01840*, 2021.

[39] J. Shi *et al.*, "Two end-to-end quantum-inspired deep neural networks for text classification," *IEEE Trans. Knowl. Data Eng.*, 2021.

[40] N. Liu and P. Rebentrost, "Quantum machine learning for quantum anomaly detection," *Phys. Rev. A*, vol. 97, no. 4, p. 42315, 2018.

[41] P. Rebentrost, M. Mohseni, and S. Lloyd, "Quantum support vector machine for big data classification," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 113, no. 13, p. 130503, 2014.

[42] S. Gupta and R. K. P. Zia, "Quantum neural networks," *J. Comput. Syst. Sci.*, vol. 63, no. 3, pp. 355–383, 2001.

[43] S. T. Marella and H. S. K. Parisa, "Introduction to quantum computing," *Quantum Comput. Commun.*, 2020.

[44] I. Siddiqi, "Engineering high-coherence superconducting qubits," *Nat. Rev. Mater.*, vol. 6, no. 10, pp. 875–891, 2021.

[45] A. Blais, S. M. Girvin, and W. D. Oliver, "Quantum information processing and quantum optics with circuit quantum electrodynamics," *Nat. Phys.*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 247–256, 2020.

[46] F. Tacchino, A. Chiesa, S. Carretta, and D. Gerace, "Quantum computers as universal quantum simulators: state-of-the-art and perspectives," *Adv. Quantum Technol.*, vol. 3, no. 3, p. 1900052, 2020.

[47] A. Strikis, D. Qin, Y. Chen, S. C. Benjamin, and Y. Li, "Learning-based quantum error mitigation," *PRX Quantum*, vol. 2, no. 4, p. 40330, 2021.

[48] R. Ramouthar and H. Seker, "Hybrid Quantum-Classical Computing-A Fusion of Classical And Quantum

Journal of Computer Science Research (JoCoSiR) with CC BY NC SA license.

Computational Substrates," 2023.

[49] F. Fan, Y. Shi, T. Guggemos, and X. X. Zhu, "Hybrid quantum-classical convolutional neural network model for image classification," *IEEE Trans. Neural Networks Learn. Syst.*, 2023.

[50] E. Ghasemian and M. K. Tavassoly, "Hybrid classical-quantum machine learning based on dissipative two-qubit channels," *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 12, no. 1, p. 20440, 2022.

[51] T. S. Humble, A. McCaskey, D. I. Lyakh, M. Gowrishankar, A. Frisch, and T. Monz, "Quantum computers for high-performance computing," *IEEE Micro*, vol. 41, no. 5, pp. 15–23, 2021.

[52] R. Ramouthar and H. Seker, "A Review of Hybrid Quantum Computational Substrates-A Fusion of Classical And Quantum Computational Substrates," *Sci. Prepr.*, 2023.

[53] V. E. Elfving *et al.*, "How will quantum computers provide an industrially relevant computational advantage in quantum chemistry?," *arXiv Prepr. arXiv2009.12472*, 2020.

[54] M. Ostaszewski, L. M. Trenkwalder, W. Masarczyk, E. Scerri, and V. Dunjko, "Reinforcement learning for optimization of variational quantum circuit architectures," *Adv. Neural Inf. Process. Syst.*, vol. 34, pp. 18182–18194, 2021.

[55] N. Nguyen and K.-C. Chen, "Quantum embedding search for quantum machine learning," *IEEE Access*, vol. 10, pp. 41444–41456, 2022.

[56] A. D. Córcoles *et al.*, "Exploiting dynamic quantum circuits in a quantum algorithm with superconducting qubits," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 127, no. 10, p. 100501, 2021.